

EXHIBIT J

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:

IN RE: VALSARTAN PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

19-md-02875-RBK-JS

TELEPHONIC STATUS
CONFERENCE WITH ORAL
ARGUMENT AND RULINGS ON
DEFENDANTS' FACT SHEETS

Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse
4th & Cooper Streets
Camden, New Jersey 08101
July 29, 2020
Commencing at 10:20 a.m.

B E F O R E:

THE HONORABLE JOEL SCHNEIDER,
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
AND
THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. KUGLER,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC
BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE
103 EISENHOWER PARKWAY
ROSELAND, NEW JERSEY 07068
For the Plaintiffs

GOLOMB & HONIK, P.C.
BY: RUBEN HONIK, ESQUIRE
1835 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2900
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103
For the Plaintiffs

LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS MITCHELL RAFFERTY PROCTOR P.A.
BY: DANIEL A. NIGH, ESQUIRE
316 S. BAYLEN, SUITE 600
PENSACOLA, FL 32502
For the Plaintiffs

Carol Farrell, Official Court Reporter
cfarrell.crr@gmail.com
856-318-6100

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript
produced by computer-aided transcription.

A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued):

KANNER & WHITELEY, LLC
BY: CONLEE S. WHITELEY, ESQUIRE
701 CAMP STREET
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70130
For the Plaintiffs

GOLDENBERGLAW, PLLC
BY: MARLENE J. GOLDENBERG, ESQUIRE
800 LASALLE AVENUE, SUITE 2150
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402
For the Plaintiffs

DUANE MORRIS LLP
BY: SETH A. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
BARBARA A. SCHWARTZ, ESQUIRE
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103
For the Defendants, Walmart, Prinston
Pharmaceuticals, Solco Healthcare U.S. LLC,
and Zhejiang Huahai Pharmaceuticals Ltd.

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
BY: SARAH E. JOHNSTON, ESQUIRE
KRISTEN L. RICHER, ESQUIRE
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 300
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
For the Retailer Defendants and CVS Pharmacy

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
BY: D'LESLI M. DAVIS, ESQUIRE
1301 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019
For the Defendant, McKesson

ULMER & BERNE LLP
BY: JEFFREY D. GEOPPINGER, ESQUIRE
600 VINE STREET, SUITE 2800
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202
For the Defendant, AmerisourceBergen

A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued):

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
BY: VICTORIA D. LOCKARD, ESQUIRE
LORI G. COHEN, ESQUIRE
JEFFREY GREENE, ESQUIRE
3333 PIEDMONT ROAD, NE, SUITE 2500
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30327
For the Teva Defendants

LEWIS BRISBOIS BIASGAARD & SMITH
BY: MEGAN E. GROSSMAN, ESQUIRE
550 E. SWEDESFORD ROAD, SUITE 270
WAYNE, PENNSYLVANIA 19087
For the Defendant, AvKARE

1 (PROCEEDINGS held via teleconference before The Honorable Joel
2 Schneider, United States Magistrate Judge, at 10:20 a.m.)

3 THE COURT: We're on the record in the matter of the
4 Valsartan MDL litigation, Docket Number 19-2875.

5 Let's get the entries of appearance for plaintiffs'
6 lead counsel who we expect to talk, and whoever is going to
7 talk on this conference call, please just announce your name
8 so the court reporter knows who is talking.

9 Plaintiffs, do you want to put your entries of
10 appearance on the record?

11 MR. SLATER: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam Slater
12 on behalf of the plaintiffs.

13 MR. HONIK: Good morning, Your Honor. Ruben Honik
14 for plaintiff.

15 MR. NIGH: Good morning, Your Honor. Daniel Nigh for
16 plaintiffs.

17 MS. WHITELEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Conlee
18 Whiteley for plaintiffs.

19 THE COURT: Why don't we get the appearances now for
20 the lead counsel for the defendants.

21 MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Seth
22 Goldberg for the ZHP parties and defendants.

23 MS. COHEN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Lori
24 Cohen for the Teva defendants.

25 MS. LOCKARD: Victoria Lockard also for the Teva

1 defendants.

2 MR. GREENE: Jeff Greene, also for the Teva
3 defendants. And, Your Honor, Dr. Maura Grossman is also on
4 the line as well.

5 MS. JOHNSTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Sarah
6 Johnston on behalf of the retail and pharmacy defendants.

7 MR. GEOPPINGER: Good morning, Your Honor. Jeff
8 Geoppinger on behalf of wholesaler defendants and
9 AmerisourceBergen.

10 THE COURT: All right. I think that's everyone.

11 Thank you for your letters which we have received,
12 reviewed, including, Mr. Greene, Dr. Grossman's affidavit.

13 I've spoken with Judge Kugler. He's going to be
14 joining us this morning after we're done with the issues we
15 need to address. He's in a hermetically sealed room somewhere
16 in Washington, D.C., dealing with his FISA business but will
17 make himself available for this call because I know there are
18 a number of issues that he has to deal with.

19 I'd like to address on this call the issues that we
20 have to deal with, and if you'll indulge me, let's save the
21 Teva TAR issue for last and address and resolve all the other
22 issues we are going to address on this call, and then we'll
23 deal with the Teva TAR issue at the end of this call.

24 For the benefit of those people who weren't on our
25 call yesterday, just for the record, we had a call late

1 yesterday afternoon. It wasn't on the record. The Court sat
2 in, didn't make any rulings, where plaintiffs and Teva met and
3 conferred to see if they can come to some sort of resolution
4 of this TAR issue. Dr. Grossman was kind enough to be on the
5 call. Plaintiffs' consultant was on the call as well.

6 Where we left things last night, I think we had a
7 very good, candid conversation of the issues. There is a
8 good-faith disagreement amongst the parties. Where we left
9 things was I asked Mr. Slater, on behalf of the plaintiffs, if
10 he would just confer with his group to find out how they
11 wanted to proceed. Given the late notice, I relayed to
12 Mr. Slater that it was not unreasonable if plaintiffs'
13 position was that they wanted more time to talk amongst
14 themselves about it. We'll find out at the end of this call
15 this morning what they have resolved. Like I said, if
16 plaintiffs don't have a resolution yet, we can talk the end of
17 this week or early next week.

18 So, dealing with the non-TAR issues, let me just go
19 through Mr. Goldberg's letter.

20 The show-cause issue regarding the fact sheets, why
21 don't we save that for when Judge Kugler joins us.

22 Why don't we address the fact sheet issue. It looks
23 like we are very, very close to finalizing all the fact
24 sheets. I'd like to get them finalized and entered this week
25 and put that behind us.

1 So the first item listed in the letter is the
2 manufacturer defendant fact sheet. Is the only issue,
3 counsel, this introductory paragraph when responses have to be
4 filed? Why don't we hear from Mr. Goldberg first.

5 MS. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, this is Barbara Schwartz
6 on behalf of the ZHP defendants, and that's right. The only
7 dispute remaining for the manufacturers is the first paragraph
8 issue, which is -- for the manufacturer defendants' fact
9 sheets, the only remaining dispute is the first paragraph, but
10 this same dispute also extends to the defendant fact sheets
11 for the other levels of the supply chain.

12 THE COURT: Okay. So just refresh my recollection,
13 counsel. I know we did the -- whatever it was. Was it 45
14 days, 45 days, 45 days, or was it 60 days? I don't remember.

15 MS. SCHWARTZ: It was 60 days.

16 THE COURT: Okay. So the first set of defendants
17 that are going to respond to the fact sheets, are they the
18 retailers or the manufacturers?

19 MS. SCHWARTZ: In most cases, yes, it will be the
20 defendants closest to the consumer in the supply chain, so
21 often the pharmacy.

22 THE COURT: All right. And, again, to refresh the
23 Court's recollection, the Court had ordered, if I remember,
24 correct me if I'm wrong, that we're talking about the named
25 class representatives and another, what, 20 people?

1 MS. SCHWARTZ: That's correct, 20 personal injury
2 plaintiffs to be identified by the plaintiff.

3 THE COURT: Okay. They have not done that yet; is
4 that what you're saying?

5 MS. SCHWARTZ: That's right.

6 THE COURT: All right. But everybody knows who the
7 named class reps are, correct? Do you have the approximate
8 number of how many there are?

9 MS. SCHWARTZ: I believe there are about 35 class
10 representatives.

11 THE COURT: All right. So let's just take that
12 number. It could be plus or minus. Have those 35 already
13 answered and responded to the fact sheets?

14 MS. SCHWARTZ: Those 35 have submitted fact sheets,
15 and we only have disputes as to the substantial completion of
16 that group in about five instances.

17 THE COURT: All right. So, again, we're talking
18 rough numbers. So there are at least 30 plaintiffs, where as
19 soon as the fact sheet is entered this week, the retailers
20 have 60 days to respond to those 30 people, right?

21 MS. SCHWARTZ: That's right.

22 THE COURT: All right. And then we have five to work
23 out. And plaintiffs -- I'm surprised at this. I guess maybe
24 I didn't drill down on this enough. I had assumed that the
25 plaintiffs had already identified the 20, but Mr. Slater or

1 some representative from the plaintiffs, when do you intend to
2 identify the additional 20 people?

3 MR. SLATER: Your Honor, Adam Slater -- yeah, I was
4 going to hand it off to Daniel.

5 MR. NIGH: Sorry, Adam.

6 MR. SLATER: No, no, I'm letting you answer the
7 question.

8 MR. NIGH: Okay. This is Daniel Nigh.

9 We -- I don't know if Marlene made the proposal yet,
10 but the retailers were asking for 90 days to complete the
11 defense fact sheet, and we had suggested that, rather than
12 that be the deadline, we would give 30 days to identify the
13 bodily injury cases from today, and then they would have 60
14 days, so that would effectively give them 90 days, to
15 complete --

16 MS. RICHER: Daniel, this is --

17 MR. NIGH: -- the fact sheet.

18 (Simultaneous crosstalk.)

19 MS. RICHER: And, Your Honor, this is Kristen Richer
20 with -- go ahead, Marlene.

21 MS. GOLDENBERG: Okay. So, Kristen and I had spoken
22 yesterday, we had a productive conversation. I'm sorry. This
23 is Marlene Goldenberg for the plaintiffs.

24 And Kristen and Sarah and I had a productive
25 conversation yesterday as part of being able to work through

1 some of the other disputes on the fact sheet. What we agreed
2 was that for the class-action plaintiffs, their trigger
3 deadline to start answering was going to be August 15th, and
4 the trigger deadline for the personal injury plaintiffs was
5 going to be August 31st; and with that -- with both of those
6 deadlines in mind, they would respond to each group of defense
7 fact sheets within 60 days of those trigger deadlines.

8 THE COURT: But, Ms. Goldenberg, when do plaintiffs
9 intend to identify the 20 additional people at issue?

10 MS. GOLDENBERG: Judge, we had talked with the
11 retailer defendants about this yesterday, and because they had
12 requested additional time to respond as part of this
13 agreement, we said we would give them those names on August
14 31st.

15 THE COURT: Can I just ask the question, what's
16 taking so long?

17 MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, if you'd like them
18 sooner, we can certainly work on making sure that's --

19 ELECTRONIC VOICE: Joining the meeting.

20 THE COURT: Listen, I'm trying to move things along,
21 and that's fine. If we say plaintiffs have to identify the 20
22 people by August 15, and retailers have to respond within 60
23 days of August 31st, that gives the retailers a little bit
24 more, an extra I guess two weeks or so. They've asked for 30
25 days but we're giving them an extra two weeks. It sounds like

1 they asked for 90 days, as opposed to the original 60 days,
2 but if you wait 30 days to identify the 20 additional people,
3 that doesn't really help the retailers because then they'll
4 still have 60 days to respond.

5 So why don't we say that the trigger date for the
6 class-action plaintiffs is 60 days from August 15; the trigger
7 date for the personal injury plaintiffs is 60 days from August
8 31st; but the 20 additional people have to be identified by
9 August 15. And so that will give the retailers an extra 15
10 days, as opposed to their requested 30 days to respond. Okay?
11 And we'll keep the other groups at 60 days.

12 MS. GOLDENBERG: That's fine, Your Honor. We'll be
13 happy to do that.

14 THE COURT: All right. But now we have the issue of
15 the -- well, so then we don't have to work -- well, do we have
16 to worry about this trigger now? I guess --

17 MS. GOLDENBERG: We do, Your Honor. And this is
18 Marlene Goldenberg again. The --

19 THE COURT: Yes.

20 MS. GOLDENBERG: -- that we have on the trigger is
21 whether or not the plaintiff actually is substantially
22 complete, and our proposal to the defendants has been, as long
23 as Section 1 of the fact sheet is substantially complete,
24 which provides, you know, pharmacy records, information about
25 use, and everything that they would need to start going

1 through their records to tell us where our clients' pill came
2 from, what our clients took, and, you know, what the chain of
3 distribution is, to us, that's actually more than what they
4 need because Section 1 is overly inclusive and has other
5 information outside of that.

6 Defendants' position has been that the entire fact
7 sheet has to be what they call substantially complete, and I
8 think that just provides so much ambiguity because if a client
9 forgot what year they declared bankruptcy, for example, I mean
10 that could be a reason for holding up a defendant's fact sheet
11 process that is going to take in excess of a half a year to
12 complete.

13 THE COURT: Well, let me say two things in response
14 to what you had to say. One is if -- I hope the defendants
15 don't make an argument that a fact sheet is not substantially
16 complete because it's simply missing the date that a
17 bankruptcy was filed. So I wouldn't consider that a
18 substantial oversight.

19 The 20 people that you're going to identify by August
20 15, Ms. Goldenberg, have they already answered the fact
21 sheets?

22 MS. GOLDENBERG: We are taking that into
23 consideration in selecting the 20, yes.

24 THE COURT: Okay. The Court's ruling on this issue
25 is going to leave the fact sheets like they are right now and

1 not change them. We negotiated this ad nauseam, and one of
2 the concerns I have is that I don't want to incentivize a
3 plaintiff not to complete a fact sheet, and if the Court says
4 that if they just fill out a certain section, then that
5 triggers the defendants' duty to do what they have to do, that
6 might -- capital "M" -- incentivize the plaintiff not to do a
7 thorough and complete job when they complete the fact sheet.

8 With regard to the substantial completion argument, I
9 don't really see it as a big deal because it really has to be
10 a material oversight to be not substantially complete, and if
11 there is a dispute about this, you know you can raise it with
12 the Court right away. We talk every two weeks. So I don't
13 see this as a big problem, and I think if we accepted
14 plaintiffs' alternative proposal, it could lead to unforeseen
15 results. So let's just leave it as it is now, and if, as I
16 expect, things should go pretty smoothly. So that takes care
17 of that issue.

18 I'm sorry, Ms. Goldenberg, did you have something to
19 add?

20 MS. GOLDENBERG: No, Your Honor, I'm sorry.

21 THE COURT: Okay. So then I'm looking at the letter
22 from Mr. Goldberg. The retail pharmacy fact sheets -- the
23 manufacturer fact sheets are now finalized. Retail pharmacy,
24 are they finalized with the Court's ruling?

25 (Simultaneous crosstalk.)

1 MS. RICHER: This is Kristen Richer for the retail
2 pharmacy defendants.

3 We have reached substantive agreement with plaintiffs
4 on any disagreements that we had, and we're just cleaning up
5 the document now, and hope to have a day or two to just run
6 the final by their clients. So what we would propose is that
7 we send you the final version no later than Friday so the
8 Court can approve it and enter the fact sheet.

9 THE COURT: Friday's great.

10 MS. RICHER: But in terms of substance, we're there.

11 THE COURT: Great.

12 Wholesalers, apparently, there's three issues with
13 the wholesalers, so why don't we deal with that. Why don't we
14 deal with those three issues.

15 I'm looking at Mr. Goldberg's letter, Page 6. We'll
16 start with the plaintiffs. This repackager/relabeler issue,
17 that's an interesting issue. How many repackagers and
18 relabelers are there in the case?

19 MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, this is Marlene
20 Goldenberg again.

21 The short answer to your question is not many. We
22 have been working productively with many of them to get them
23 through the peripheral dismissal process that we put in place
24 many months ago, and it's actually for that reason that we
25 really don't feel that they need a separate fact sheet here.

1 To the extent any of them want to stay in the case, we just
2 want the same information that we get from the wholesalers.
3 If they don't want to stay in the case, the peripheral
4 dismissal defendants' protocol is available to them, and we
5 have, you know, I think worked pretty well in getting
6 defendants who wanted to be out of the case out.

7 THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure why the wholesalers
8 object. They're not a repackager -- I don't know. Why are
9 the wholesalers objecting if we're talking about different
10 parties?

11 MR. GEOPPINGER: Well, Your Honor, this is Jeff
12 Geoppinger for the wholesalers. And, basically, what it boils
13 down to, Your Honor, is you even pointed out there's very few
14 left in the case. They're differently situated. They have
15 their own program with respect to being peripheral defendants
16 and getting out of the case, which the wholesalers clearly
17 don't, because we've been -- the plaintiffs have made very
18 clear we are not peripheral defendants. So they just
19 logically don't belong in our fact sheet.

20 And then even -- secondly, more basically, we can't
21 negotiate on behalf of the repackagers. We negotiated on
22 behalf of the wholesalers. If the repackagers -- if there is
23 a repackager, as Ms. Goldenberg says, who, quote, unquote,
24 wants to be in the case -- I can't believe there are -- but if
25 there is somebody out there who's still in the case, then I

1 think the plaintiffs need to take their requests for discovery
2 to those defendants, not the wholesalers.

3 THE COURT: Help me. Do you know of any -- well, are
4 there any repackagers or relabelers on this phone call?

5 MS. GROSSMAN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Megan
6 Grossman, and I represent AvKARE, and I think I have the
7 privilege of being one of the only repackagers that's still in
8 this litigation.

9 THE COURT: Well, kudos to you, Ms. Grossman.

10 MS. GROSSMAN: Thank you.

11 THE COURT: How many repackagers or relabelers are
12 left in the case?

13 MS. GROSSMAN: I believe, from my discussions with
14 the other counsel for the repackagers, that AvKARE is one of
15 the only repackagers that still is in the case or is not
16 actually in the process of getting dismissed as part of the
17 peripheral defendants' CMO that you entered.

18 Of course, I have plans on behalf of AvKARE to try to
19 submit the information to the plaintiffs to take advantage of
20 that CMO as well, but it just has not happened yet.

21 THE COURT: Do you anticipate --

22 MS. GROSSMAN: But there were -- I apologize.

23 THE COURT: Do you anticipate that you will make a
24 request to be dismissed pursuant to that Court order?

25 MS. GROSSMAN: Yes, Your Honor. We're in the process

1 of collecting all the documents from our client.

2 THE COURT: How long do you think it will take to
3 make that request?

4 MS. GROSSMAN: I will make sure that we make that
5 request within 15 days so that we can try to move this process
6 along, keeping in mind the deadlines that Your Honor just
7 discussed with regard to the defendants' fact sheet.

8 THE COURT: So why don't I say something to the
9 effect that to the extent a repackager or relabeler has not
10 made a request to be dismissed from the case within, say, 30
11 days from today, they'll have to answer this fact sheet. So,
12 within 30 days, I would expect that we'll know if there's any
13 repackager or relabeler who is not going to make a request to
14 be dismissed from the case. I don't know why they would do
15 that, but -- and if they don't, if they're part of the case,
16 they have to answer the fact sheet. Okay? So let's do it
17 that way.

18 And then if the repackager or relabeler who is left
19 in the case wants to raise an issue about answering the fact
20 sheet, they could raise it with the Court. So I'll draft
21 something that says something to that effect.

22 But, hopefully, if things go according to plan, all
23 repackagers and relabelers will be in the dismissal process
24 within 30 days, and while they're going through that process,
25 they don't have to answer the fact sheet. Okay? I think

1 that's a fair compromise.

2 MS. GROSSMAN: Understood, Your Honor. Again, this
3 is Megan Grossman for AvKARE. And I would welcome an
4 opportunity to have a conversation with plaintiffs' counsel,
5 Ms. Goldenberg, about the issues that were being raised in the
6 wholesalers DFS about repackager issues, because I do believe
7 that there are some questions that were included in the
8 wholesalers defendants' fact sheet that would only be
9 applicable to repackagers, which is why I think Mr. Geoppinger
10 was explaining that he can't negotiate those terms because he
11 doesn't necessarily know what repackagers have as opposed to
12 wholesalers so --

13 THE COURT: Right.

14 MS. GROSSMAN: -- if Ms. Goldenberg would like to
15 have a discussion with me about the terms of the defendants'
16 fact sheet, I'm available.

17 THE COURT: But, Ms. Grossman, let's clarify this.
18 If your client is going to request to be part of this
19 dismissal process, you don't have to answer the fact sheet.
20 So why would you have that discussion with Ms. Goldenberg?

21 MS. GROSSMAN: Again, this is Megan Grossman, for the
22 record.

23 I would have the discussion with Ms. Goldenberg
24 because it's my understanding -- and I don't want to speak for
25 Mr. Geoppinger or Ms. Goldenberg -- but it's my understanding

1 that there were some issues that the wholesalers were trying
2 to delete from the defendants' fact sheet that were only
3 related to repackagers, and so if Your Honor is saying that
4 those issues have to stay in the wholesalers defendants' fact
5 sheet, as opposed to being a separate defendant's fact sheet,
6 I was just offering to speak to Ms. Goldenberg about those
7 specific terms that the wholesalers were trying to delete.

8 THE COURT: Okay. In a matter of minutes, we will
9 finalize the wholesalers' fact sheet, so you may not have to
10 speak with Ms. Goldenberg about it, but we'll see because we
11 didn't get --

12 MS. GROSSMAN: Understood.

13 THE COURT: -- to two and three yet. But I think we
14 have resolved number one.

15 The Court's order is going to say something to the
16 effect that if a repackager or relabeler has not requested or
17 been part of this dismissal process within the next 30 days,
18 then they have to answer the wholesalers' fact sheet, and
19 while they're going through that process, they don't have to
20 answer it. The hope is that to the extent there are
21 repackagers or relabelers who haven't requested to be part of
22 the process, this will incentivize them to move things along a
23 little bit so they don't have to answer the fact sheet.

24 So let's go to number two. Ms. Goldenberg, the
25 request about the pricing, hasn't the Court already ruled

1 about the pricing issue?

2 MS. GOLDENBERG: Yes.

3 THE COURT: So, doesn't the Court's prior rulings
4 take care of number two?

5 MS. GOLDENBERG: Yes. So, Your Honor, I'll allow my
6 class colleagues to chime in if I miss anything, but the Court
7 absolutely ruled on the global issues relating to pricing, and
8 we respect those rulings, and we're not trying to change those
9 here.

10 What we are trying to do, though, is get some pricing
11 information as to a small subset of plaintiffs, and it's our
12 understanding that, you know, again, this is only going to be
13 answered as to approximately 50 different plaintiffs. If we
14 ever got to a point where the defendants' fact sheet was going
15 to apply to all the plaintiffs in the case, we would be happy
16 to renegotiate this provision, but in order for us to get the
17 information that we'd need on the class side of the case, this
18 pricing information really is important, and that's why we've
19 asked for it here.

20 THE COURT: Well, Ms. Goldenberg, it sounds to me,
21 with all due respect, and I understand why, that you're trying
22 to get -- not you -- the plaintiffs are trying to get through
23 the back door what they couldn't get through the front door.
24 So the request for the pricing information in number two is
25 denied, for the same reasons that the Court already ruled.

1 Let's go to number three.

2 MS. GOLDENBERG: On this one, Your Honor, I have good
3 news. Number three, I believe, unless Mr. Geoppinger can tell
4 me I've missed something, was just the trigger deadline that
5 we talked about with all the other defendants, and so I
6 believe that we have made it through this fact sheet, and that
7 we don't have any disputes as to the others.

8 THE COURT: Great. So the wholesaler --

9 MR. SLATER: Your Honor --

10 THE COURT: I'm sorry, go ahead.

11 MR. GEOPPINGER: This is Mr. Geoppinger, Jeff
12 Geoppinger for the wholesalers.

13 There is a third issue. The third issue is the
14 request the plaintiffs have made in the fact sheet for return
15 data and the scope of that request. Basically, Your Honor,
16 they have asked for -- and I'll just summarize it -- they've
17 asked for us to provide information about returns we got in a
18 individual plaintiff's fact sheet with respect to all returns
19 we got for a period of eight years from the pharmacy that the
20 plaintiff would have gotten their valsartan from at some point
21 along the way in this eight years. We think that's totally
22 broad. It's an expansive request for data that's not really
23 tethered to any of the plaintiffs specifically, which is sort
24 of the purpose of the DFS is to answer questions that are
25 related to this specific plaintiff. So we propose that we

1 provide the return data, which is basically any information we
2 have about getting returns of product from the pharmacy or
3 pharmacies that the plaintiff got their valsartan from, for
4 the period of time that we have agreed is the relevant period
5 of time in the fact sheet, which I believe is six months prior
6 to the first prescription and 30 days after their last.

7 THE COURT: So, let me make sure I understand
8 correctly, counsel. As a compromise, you're proposing that
9 let's say a particular plaintiff got their prescription
10 from -- and I'm not picking on a particular company -- CVS
11 down the corner, you said that you would provide information
12 for that particular retail store for the relevant time period?

13 MR. GEOPPINGER: We would provide information for the
14 pharmacy defendant, Your Honor. So --

15 THE COURT: Would it be -- hold on.

16 Would it be just for that retail store that the
17 particular plaintiff went to or CVS or the whole company?

18 MS. DAVIS: Judge, this is D'Lesli Davis. I was
19 involved in negotiating and working on that part, if I may.

20 What we anticipate happening, because of the lack of
21 lot and batch numbers, is that a plaintiff will fill out on
22 this plaintiffs' fact sheet, I used Teva NDC Number 1, I got
23 it from CVS in Texas for one year. I then used a different
24 NDC number from a different manufacturer. I may have gone to
25 a different pharmacy a year later or two years later, so it's

1 incremental. And so what would happen is in our proposal, we
2 used something we called the subject time period to
3 distinguish it from the Court's relevant time period of the
4 full time period, and that is the six months before to the 30
5 days after this individual plaintiff's increment from that
6 individual pharmacy.

7 Now, your specific question relates to would we be
8 producing documents from just the Plano, Texas, facility or
9 the entirety of CVS. And it's the summary of the sales, too,
10 not full documents. The answer would be if we have detail for
11 that particular pharmacy, we would produce it related to that
12 pharmacy. If that's not the way that the records were kept, I
13 think if we were in an incremental time period, which is not
14 the full, you know, eight years of the relevant time period,
15 but the subject time period as defined in the fact sheet, we
16 would be willing to look at CVS more broadly because the
17 records might have been kept that way, if that makes sense.

18 THE COURT: Okay. What do plaintiffs say about this?

19 MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, we had originally asked
20 for this question just to read were any affected drugs
21 returned to you by a plaintiff or a relevant pharmacy
22 defendant, and the defendants wanted a time period on that,
23 and so what we offered as a compromise was the same relevant
24 time period that has applied to the rest of their discovery
25 which is January 1st, 2012, through December 31st, 2019. Our

1 concern with limiting the dates in the way that defendants
2 have proposed are just that we don't know when returns
3 happened because somebody might wait to return a product or,
4 alternatively, a different person who took a pill from the
5 same lot and batch might have returned it to a different
6 pharmacy, and that pill might be the one that we would want to
7 test for trial, and what we're trying to avoid here is getting
8 all the way to trial and having one of these groups of
9 defendants come back to us and say, well, hey, we accepted
10 these pills because we know our distribution network, and so
11 we've been able to source pills from the same lot and batch as
12 the one that your plaintiff took, and we've looked at it and
13 it's fine. And then on our side, we never would have known
14 that pill existed or had a chance to test it.

15 MS. DAVIS: Judge, the testing is handled through the
16 broader RFPs, and by the time you patchwork together the 50 or
17 so plaintiffs they're going to have, this really becomes a
18 request for all returns information.

19 I would also note that returns happen in the course
20 of business. This is not a return for a defective product.
21 It's not -- they haven't limited it to recalled product. So
22 they're asking for returns globally that happen just because
23 the company over-ordered inventory or something is coming up
24 on an expiration. These are just machinations that happened
25 without any meaning to the issues in the litigation.

1 THE COURT REPORTER: Please identify who just spoke.

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was D'Lesli Davis.

3 MS. DAVIS: I'm sorry. I'm getting a break out.

4 THE COURT: Ms. Farrell, that was Ms. Davis.

5 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

6 MS. GOLDENBERG: And this is Marlene Goldenberg, if I
7 could just respond to that.

8 Again, I mean, what we're trying to figure out here
9 is are there pills that came from our clients' lot or batch
10 that are available for testing. Whether or not those were
11 returned because of a valsartan recall or because a pharmacy
12 simply ordered too much product, you're right, it doesn't
13 narrow it that way because that's not the reason that would
14 be -- I mean, that's not what what's important. What's
15 important is these relevant pills are available and we just
16 want to know what they are. And so that's the reason that
17 we've asked this question, but we also are willing to limit it
18 to the relevant time period that applies to the rest of
19 discovery, and we're also limiting it to the NDC codes that
20 were taken by each plaintiff, and so it really isn't a request
21 for everything that's out there. We've tried to narrow this
22 as much as we can.

23 THE COURT: On this particular issue I'm going to
24 rule in defendants' favor. I think we should keep the focus
25 of these fact sheets where they belong and not get into these

1 generic big issues.

2 Ms. Goldenberg, I think your concern about sample
3 product being available is perfectly legitimate, and we've
4 discussed that issue before, but I think there are so many
5 avenues that we've discussed that you're going to get that
6 information that we don't also need it through these
7 individualized fact sheets.

8 I mean, Ms. Goldenberg, haven't we discussed already
9 in the case that you're going to find out one way -- not
10 you -- plaintiffs are going to find out one way or the other
11 whether there is any product available to be sampled that was
12 recalled? Haven't we been over that issue many times before
13 in this litigation?

14 MS. GOLDENBERG: Your Honor, my understanding is that
15 yes, we are going to get information about whether product is
16 available. What I don't have a perfect understanding is at
17 this time is whether or not we will know exactly whether or
18 not that product came from the same lot or batch as what our
19 clients took. But if that's the Court's ruling, I mean, we
20 certainly can live with that, and if we find that there is a
21 problem later on down the road, then we can raise it at that
22 time.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Goldenberg.

24 I think that takes care of the last fact sheet,
25 correct? So the Court's order is going to say the final,

1 final, final version of all the fact sheets have to be served
2 by Friday. I'll enter an order blessing them with the time
3 frame that we talked about earlier in this call, and I'm
4 delighted that we finally put the fact sheet and the request
5 for production behind us.

6 Moving on in the letter, the short-form complaints,
7 we'll save that for when Judge Kugler joins us.

8 The coordination of the state court cases, why don't
9 we save that for when Judge Kugler joins us.

10 The schedule for general causation, we'll save that
11 for when Judge Kugler joins us.

12 That leaves us with the last issue that I wanted to
13 discuss on this call, which is the TAR issue. Mr. Slater, let
14 me turn the floor over to you.

15 MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor.

16 Following the call yesterday, we had some
17 communication with Teva's counsel, and I think it makes sense
18 to accept your Honor's suggestion that we try to talk for a
19 few more days. Your Honor, obviously, I'm not going to go
20 through what we discussed yesterday, but I think it's clear we
21 are trying to work with the defendants to find a -- you know,
22 some sort of a compromise. If not, you know, then obviously
23 we're very comfortable just letting the Court decide the
24 issue. I think that maybe, so that it doesn't get out of
25 hand, it doesn't drag too long, and since there is so much

1 that's back and forth that's already occurred, maybe Monday
2 would be a reasonable day, as opposed to Friday. It gives us
3 the weekend, if maybe Monday afternoon works for the Court.
4 Perhaps that gives us a little more time, but also gives both
5 sides the urgency to understand that the issue's going to be
6 decided one way or the other so that we all know what we're
7 doing because, obviously, the uncertainty is -- it's certainly
8 creating a lot of issues on our side. If that's acceptable to
9 the Court, and there is no, you know, no issue from Teva, I
10 think that's a reasonable approach.

11 MR. GREENE: Your Honor --

12 THE COURT: I think -- go ahead, Mr. Greene.

13 MR. GREENE: Your Honor, this is Jeff Greene for Teva
14 defendants.

15 I think what we had proposed yesterday was that Teva
16 was happy to put a validation protocol together which
17 addressed the concepts that we discussed yesterday, and use
18 that as a starting point for the discussions. And I think
19 having something concrete in front of the parties would be
20 helpful to that discussion. You know, we remain the same, you
21 know, we believe that we can work with plaintiffs, if everyone
22 shows up in good faith and is reasonable about the process.
23 Our suggestion is to try that route and have something
24 concrete in front of us. If plaintiffs want to come back with
25 an alternative --

1 ELECTRONIC VOICE: Joining the meeting.

2 MR. GREENE: -- but I think -- and maybe that puts
3 the Court in a position where it's choosing one over the
4 other, and that may be the way it ends up, but we think there
5 is logic to continuing the discussion. Yesterday was
6 productive in identifying the issues.

7 So what we would propose would be to turn over a
8 draft validation protocol for discussion and see where that
9 takes us. And I think we could have that by Friday, and then,
10 you know, we'll see where that goes from the perspective of
11 further discussions, and if we have to jump back on the phone
12 early next week with the Court, we're certainly willing to do
13 that.

14 MR. SLATER: Your Honor -- go ahead, I'm sorry,
15 Judge.

16 THE COURT: No, go ahead, Mr. Slater.

17 MR. SLATER: Thank you, Your Honor. Adam Slater for
18 the record.

19 I'm not going to again reargue. Certainly, if the
20 defense wants to send us a proposed validation protocol, they
21 can. The Court understands our position that we are not
22 agreeing to any particular proposal that would implicate a
23 validation protocol or what level, but, certainly, there is no
24 harm in them sending information to us.

25 What I would request, if they are going to send a

1 protocol to us, however, is the following. They can send
2 whatever they propose for this case. I would also like
3 Dr. Grossman to provide to us as well what she would term the
4 most aggressive plaintiffs' side proposal for validation
5 protocol in the similar MDL that she has. And I'm not
6 being --

7 THE COURT: Let me jump in, Mr. Slater, because I'm
8 just reading your mind, and I think I'm going to take the wind
9 out of your sales and, if I do, I apologize, but if there is
10 something else you wanted to say, this is the Court's
11 position. I came into this call saying if you don't agree by
12 Friday, I'm entering my order. I was up early. I'm drafting
13 the order already. There is going to be a long opinion on
14 this, but I want to get this moving. I'm prepared to enter an
15 order with findings and rulings. I was going to do it by
16 Friday -- perfectly reasonable, perfectly comfortable.

17 Having a phone call on Monday, late afternoon again,
18 and if you can't agree by Monday, the order is going to be
19 entered Tuesday morning.

20 And what I was going to say is this. Dr. Grossman,
21 you're on the phone.

22 DR. GROSSMAN: I am, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: I was going to say this. I was going to
24 say the exact same thing that Mr. Slater was about to say.

25 I know how you feel about sampling and production and

1 this and that. The Court is very, very seriously considering
2 requiring Teva to produce all nonresponsive documents to the
3 plaintiffs. Now, if I was the plaintiffs and if I was a
4 rational person, I'm not sure I would want that, because if
5 this CAL or CMLL is as good as everybody says it supposedly
6 is, they're wasting enormous amounts of time. But if that's
7 what the plaintiffs want, given the fact that we negotiated
8 nine months or so over search terms, and on July 1st, they
9 find out for the first time that TAR is going to be used, if
10 that's what the plaintiffs want, the Court is very seriously
11 considering giving it to them. That being said, if I was the
12 plaintiffs, I wouldn't do that because it's just an
13 incredible, it sounds like just an incredible -- I don't want
14 to say waste -- devotion of resources. So I agree with
15 Mr. Slater that, hopefully, the proposal as to plaintiffs that
16 Teva is going to make is like *The Godfather*. Here's an offer
17 you can't refuse. Right? I think it's in everyone's best
18 interests to make as full a disclosure to plaintiffs as
19 possible. And, frankly, I'm not the expert in this area, you
20 are, but if Teva is saying that this program is so good and
21 it's better than humans, and we can identify nonresponsive
22 documents, frankly, I don't understand why they have to review
23 them in person by a human before they produce them to
24 plaintiffs. Because if they're so confident that this system
25 works, just give plaintiffs the documents. There will be a

1 callback agreement. There will be a 502 order entered in the
2 case. The chances of there being work product or
3 attorney-client privileged material is infinitesimally small,
4 given the types of documents we're dealing with, and they're
5 going to go through a privilege review. So I agree with
6 Mr. Slater that, hopefully, there will be a fulsome validation
7 process and the offer to plaintiffs will be so good that they
8 can't refuse it.

9 Mr. Slater, did I read your mind?

10 MR. SLATER: You did, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm not ruling, but if you can't
12 agree by Monday, I'm ruling. I promised you that this is not
13 going to linger, deadlines aren't being extended, and I'm
14 going to keep my word. We're going to get this done and we're
15 going to move it along.

16 So, it sounds to me like there is nothing else we
17 need to talk about. I would suggest -- I'm going to hang up,
18 get in contact with Judge Kugler, give him the number to call
19 in, and then we'll get going on the second part of this call.
20 Okay? Everybody all right with that?

21 MR. SLATER: The same number, Your Honor?

22 THE COURT: Yes, stay on the line. Stay on the line.
23 I don't want to lose you. It's going great. Thanks so much
24 to Ms. Cohen and Ms. Lockard for setting this up.

25 I'm going to contact Judge Kugler, give him the

1 call-in number, and we'll get started with the rest of this
2 call. Thank you.

3 (A recess was taken at 11:11 a.m.)

4 (PROCEEDINGS held via teleconference before The Honorable
5 Robert B. Kugler, United States District Judge, and The
6 Honorable Joel Schneider, United States Magistrate Judge, at
7 11:15 a.m.)

8 THE COURT: Hello, everybody. It's Judge Kugler. I
9 believe there's 51 other people on the call so I assume
10 everybody is available who we need, so I guess we just ought
11 to get started at this point.

12 I know you have been on the phone with Judge
13 Schneider this morning and, apparently, you got a lot of
14 things resolved.

15 The things that you need me to do have to do with the
16 core deficiencies in the listings for the plaintiffs' fact
17 sheets and the requests for orders to show cause.

18 In Mr. Goldberg's letter of July 28, there is two
19 categories of people. The first is the first listing for the
20 James Townsend case, is that correct, everybody?
21 Mr. Goldberg?

22 MS. LOCKARD: That's correct, Your Honor. This is
23 Victoria Lockard. I'll be handling the plaintiff fact sheet
24 portion on behalf of the defendants.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MS. LOCKARD: Yes, that's correct. Mr. Townsend is
2 the first listing, so we will have one more round of listing
3 Mr. Townsend's case we actually proceed with the request for
4 show cause.

5 ELECTRONIC VOICE: Joining the meeting.

6 THE COURT: Mr. Slater, does the plaintiff have any
7 response to any of that, as to Townsend?

8 MR. SLATER: Your Honor, there's other members of our
9 team that are specifically handling that, so I will defer to
10 them.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. STANOCH: Good morning, Your Honor. This is
13 David Stanoch for plaintiffs. We don't have an issue for the
14 first listing. I have been in contact with Gilman Law. I
15 believe it's a technical issue that with the centrality
16 platform. They assure me they're aware of it, but they have
17 submitted on the system an update and just need to work to
18 make sure it's actually properly served to the defendants, and
19 that they have a call in to the designated defense lawyer as
20 well, as of yesterday, so I don't think there is any issue
21 here with this first thing. It should be resolved by the next
22 conference, anyway.

23 THE COURT: All right. Well, we'll flip that into
24 the second conference. We'll list it next time, and we'll see
25 what happens. Hopefully, it will get resolved.

1 Then we have the second listing, and there is I think
2 a mistake in Number 9, Maxine Guillory. The dates in the far
3 right column, for 2020, I think that's a typo because it's not
4 September of 2020 or November of 2020 quite yet.

5 MS. LOCKARD: Correct, Your Honor. That is very
6 likely a typo on the letter and should be 2019.

7 THE COURT: Okay. And these are all people who still
8 haven't filed the PFS; is that correct?

9 MS. LOCKARD: Correct, Your Honor. And we've had
10 very productive meet and confers, calls, with plaintiffs'
11 counsel on the large majority of plaintiff fact sheet
12 deficiency issues and have whittled down to these 12, and for
13 whom there have been no plaintiff fact sheets submitted
14 whatsoever, many of which were due months and months ago, we
15 have sent our deficiency letters out. We have scheduled meet
16 and confer calls, which we had last week with as many of the
17 plaintiffs' counsel as had appeared on our lists. We invited
18 everyone to attend. Plaintiffs' counsel, I understand, had
19 circulated our list of these 12, in addition to others that
20 were resolved, and then we followed up with the individual
21 plaintiff's counsel listed for each of these dozen with an
22 e-mail, so they've had multiple opportunities and notices.
23 And it's our understanding -- you know, certainly some of the
24 counsel listed here for these dozen are on this call, and, you
25 know, we can discuss, but at this point my understanding is

1 these are very likely just a dozen plaintiffs who do not
2 intend to proceed with their claims, so we will be asking for
3 a show cause order for these 12.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody want to respond on behalf
5 of these plaintiffs?

6 MR. STANOCH: Your Honor, David Stanoch again for the
7 plaintiffs.

8 I think Ms. Lockard accurately summarized where we
9 are with this, and we're hopeful that following the show cause
10 order, most or all of these cases will either resolve the
11 issues or be dismissed, but, otherwise, we agree with the
12 process that's in place.

13 THE COURT: Okay. The Court will issue orders to
14 show cause on all 12 of these, why they shouldn't be dismissed
15 at the time of the next conference, and we'll just have to see
16 what happens with them. If anybody responds before then,
17 we'll deal with it at the time.

18 Are there any other PFS deficient cases that we need
19 to talk about at the moment?

20 MS. LOCKARD: No, Your Honor, not at this time. We
21 have a process in place now where we are doing recurring calls
22 among the parties which has been productive in getting a
23 number of these responded to and updated, so we'll just
24 continue with the process which seems to be working well.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Great.

1 The next issue I think is the coordination of state
2 court cases. There have been a number of new cases filed in
3 the New Jersey Superior Court. My understanding is that
4 they're all going to be stayed. Is that correct?

5 MR. SLATER: It's Adam Slater, Your Honor.

6 Correct, there's individual orders being entered in
7 each case, and currently there is about 14 or 15 cases, and I
8 believe they're all stayed or going to be.

9 THE COURT: Okay. That leaves the -- go ahead.

10 JUDGE SCHNEIDER: I'm sorry, Judge.

11 I was going to ask who filed those cases?

12 MR. SLATER: A good number of them were filed by my
13 firm as local counsel for some other firms. There was timing
14 issues, where the feeling was that the cases weren't going to
15 be filed, and they were cases where they need to be filed in
16 New Jersey for various reasons, as opposed to anywhere else,
17 so we handled that on behalf of the firms.

18 THE COURT: Okay. It's Judge Kugler again.

19 There are two cases pending in Illinois State Court.
20 One, there is apparently a motion to dismiss that's been filed
21 because this one state case has been refiled in a state court
22 case after it had been removed and then referred to the MDL
23 and then dismissed. So we'll just have to wait and see what
24 the state court does about that.

25 But what about is there any action in the other state

1 court case in Illinois?

2 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, this is Seth Goldberg for
3 the ZHP parties.

4 My understanding is that in that case, the
5 Maxton [ph] case, a judge has not been assigned and there has
6 been no movement at this point, although I expect we're going
7 to look to remove that case because there is complete
8 diversity in that case.

9 THE COURT: Is the plaintiff's lawyer in that case
10 one of the plaintiffs' lawyers in these cases, do we know?

11 MR. GOLDBERG: I don't know, Your Honor, but I'll
12 find that out and I'll coordinate with Mr. Slater about that
13 and see if there is going to be any dispute about removing the
14 case and having it put into the MDL.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. GOLDBERG: In the other Illinois case, it's
17 interesting that the plaintiff's lawyer in that case has been
18 trying to avoid having the case be put into the MDL. And the
19 motion to dismiss is -- has to do with the identification of
20 the parties.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. SLATER: And, Your Honor, it's Adam Slater.

23 I can tell you our leadership, to our knowledge,
24 nobody's involved with those cases. Nobody has any direct
25 information. We've reached out, not really had any success

1 getting in touch with those firms, so they're not aligned with
2 us in any way at this point.

3 THE COURT: I just asked the question because I was
4 curious as to whether or not, Mr. Slater, you or someone in
5 your leadership group could informally contact these
6 plaintiffs' lawyers and tell them that there is a better way
7 of doing this, but, apparently, you've been trying to do that.

8 MR. SLATER: Correct.

9 THE COURT: All right. The next issue then is this
10 issue about general causation. Defendants seek an earlier
11 identification of the types of cancer, and clearly seek to
12 have some kind of expert basis for the claim that these types
13 of cancers have been caused by the impurities in the drugs
14 that we're dealing with. And I've read Mr. Slater's response
15 to that.

16 Mr. Goldberg, did you want to say anything about
17 that?

18 MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor --

19 MS. COHEN: This is Lori Cohen on behalf -- I'm
20 sorry, go ahead, Mr. Goldberg.

21 MR. GOLDBERG: No, Lori, I was going to turn it over
22 to you.

23 THE COURT: Okay, great.

24 MS. COHEN: Okay. Thank you. Sorry to talk over
25 you, Mr. Goldberg.

1 And good morning, Your Honor. Just briefly on this,
2 we just raised this, admittedly, last week with a letter to
3 counsel for the plaintiffs, and they responded saying they
4 would get back to us, so we have not had a full meet and
5 confer discussion on this, but I'll give you a little bit of
6 background if Your Honor would allow me, and that is this:
7 That, as you well know, that this MDL was formed in February
8 of 2019. Our first CMC with you and Judge Schneider was in
9 March of 2019. At that time I think you had some initial
10 comments about the importance of the cancer issues, obviously,
11 not solely, and not the only issue, but we talked about those
12 at the first CMC, that it could be a heavy lift, it was
13 important for us all to sort of drill down on that and look
14 into the cancer issues. So we've been sort of waiting for
15 that opportunity.

16 We've obviously all been very busy for the past year
17 working on various issues related to the core discovery and
18 otherwise.

19 On February 26, which was our last in-person
20 conference, I believe, and when we had that conference with
21 Judge Schneider, that was pre-COVID, we talked about our
22 strong desire, the defense side, to move forward with some of
23 the personal injury discovery, not to derail in any way what
24 we know Your Honor wants to focus on in terms of the economic
25 class actions, not to disrupt, as plaintiff's said in their

1 letter, not to change course, but as a purely and appropriate
2 parallel track. And we talked to Judge Schneider about that,
3 as I recall, as well, in our closed-door session, talked about
4 our desire, and I believe, and I don't want to misspeak here,
5 that he said it was appropriate to go forward on a parallel
6 track on that.

7 Now, since that time many of us on this call have
8 been involved in the newly formed Zantac MDL down in Florida,
9 in that case Judge Rosenberg, and, again, they have been
10 working on and we've been working on there a number of case
11 management schedules and strategies, and there there has been
12 a lot of focus on this issue of general causation, again, as a
13 parallel track to the punitive class actions. So we thought
14 that that was a very good lesson of a way to sort of be
15 efficient here, focus on the cancer issues, at the same time,
16 again, not wanting to derail what is already in place. We
17 certainly understand that needs to go forward. But we
18 understand from Judge Schneider that we're fully entitled to
19 proceed on the personal injury cases and want to do so, and we
20 think it would be very helpful to have a general causation
21 schedule, much like the one that we attached to our letter
22 that is being used in the Zantac/ranitidine litigation.

23 So in that one, for example, that MDL was just
24 formed, as you may know, in March of 2020, so several months
25 ago, and in that case, ten months from the formation of the

1 MDL, plaintiffs will be required to disclose the types of
2 cancer, so which they will provide expert reports and the
3 schedule goes from there. This was Exhibit E to our letter.
4 And we think that makes very good sense there to put in place
5 some kind of a general causation timeline, again, to basically
6 get to the point of filing *Daubert* motions, but so that the
7 parties do not spend considerable time, expense, and resource
8 on cancer issues that are not going to be part and parcel of
9 the discovery here.

10 Again, when we look at this case, we would like the
11 plaintiffs to have to disclose the specific types of cancers
12 for which they anticipate providing expert reports and, by the
13 way of illustration, as we said in our letter, there are over
14 30 variations of cancer that have been identified in the
15 short-form complaints, and some of the cases talk about all
16 classes of cancer. We know it cannot be that broad. We know
17 that under the various cancer guidelines, that the plaintiffs
18 will not be able to establish that, and I don't think they're
19 trying to do so.

20 So we think having a very sort of schedule like that
21 as used in *Zantac* to proceed efficiently, to make sure that
22 neither side is wasting resources, nor the Court, that we can
23 get down to the point that we can get to a general causation
24 *Daubert* schedule, so that's what we proposed last week. We
25 think it's consistent with our discussions with Judge

1 Schneider. We think it's consistent, Your Honor, with what we
2 discussed with you on the very first day of this MDL. And
3 we'd like to at least start talking about such a schedule.

4 And I'll just mention that in Zantac, again, ten
5 months from the formation of the MDL, plaintiffs have to
6 disclose the types of cancer, and then 15 months from there,
7 *Daubert* motions will be closed, the Court will schedule a
8 *Daubert* hearing. We would propose some sort of schedule like
9 that as a parallel track, not to interfere with what we know
10 is your priority as you have described to us, but we think
11 that is important to do at the same time, and we would -- just
12 wanted to brief to the Court on this right now and, hopefully,
13 we can work with plaintiffs in a constructive manner to get a
14 schedule like that underway.

15 THE COURT: Well, before I hear from Mr. Slater, I
16 know what he's going to say because he put it in his letter,
17 Zantac is an interesting case, but it's more of a single issue
18 case than valsartan is, so it's more amenable, as Benicar was,
19 to the general causation issue.

20 Having said that, I'm not unsympathetic to the
21 position you are in. There has to be a reckoning at some
22 point as to all these cancer claims, and they can't -- I don't
23 think at the end of the day the science is going to support
24 this thinking, this theory -- well, it's not even a theory of
25 the plaintiffs, but the claims of some of these plaintiffs

1 that every conceivable cancer out there is caused by the
2 impurities in the valsartan-containing drugs. And there is
3 going to have to be a winnowing of that, and there's going to
4 be a focus by the plaintiffs on what actual cancers they claim
5 have been caused or will be caused in the future by these
6 valsartan-containing drugs, and that will trigger the need for
7 experts and then *Daubert* and all that kind of stuff.

8 And I don't think Judge Schneider and I have any real
9 objection to a parallel effort to take some discovery on those
10 kinds of issues, too. I just don't think we need it this day,
11 today, to enter any orders that are setting up general
12 causation. And I think you and Mr. Slater or whomever on
13 behalf of the plaintiffs need to talk about this more, and
14 it's obviously something we will continue to discuss at
15 meetings in the future.

16 But you're right, there has to be a reckoning, a day
17 of reckoning, as to these cancer claims, and it can't go on
18 forever.

19 Mr. Slater, did you want to add anything?

20 MR. SLATER: I don't think so, Your Honor. I think
21 you obviously understand our position, and I don't think that
22 you're going to get a giant push back from the plaintiffs that
23 at some point the specific cancers that are actually going to
24 be at issue need to be identified in our general causation
25 expert reports. I think it's just more a matter of when

1 that's going to happen. You know, there's things like
2 discovery that we would like to have from the defendants who
3 presumably have been looking at this question as well. We
4 want to see what their documents say and what their internal
5 people say and what literature they've been looking at, and
6 have the benefit of that when we write our expert reports and
7 understand what they know. And then, of course, you know,
8 serve expert reports on general causation for the personal
9 injury cases.

10 You know, our main concern is really just, as you, I
11 think hit it, is timing and, you know, a parallel track, you
12 know, I guess it's the devil's in the details because there is
13 so much happening right now, and there is so much that we're
14 doing, that and as you -- I know you appreciate the expert
15 reports are -- they don't address exactly the same question in
16 the economic loss context, and that's really where our focus
17 is at this point, what we're building towards, so as long as
18 we have the ability to do this in a methodical way, you know,
19 and which we're happy to talk to the defense about, just
20 dealing with the practicalities of what has to be done, you
21 know, for the next, you know, six months or so, there is a lot
22 happening.

23 I don't see any reason why after that, you know, this
24 big burst of discovery happens, why that's not something that
25 we can start to initiate. I think it makes sense.

1 THE COURT: Well, it's almost August, so why don't we
2 focus on this. Why don't we have our goal to be before the
3 end of this year -- by the end of this year to have in place
4 orders governing general causation and expert reports on those
5 issues. Okay? So we will continue to discuss this with the
6 idea that by the end of the year, we'll have this in place
7 with a schedule. All right?

8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 MS. COHEN: I think what we will do is just move
11 forward, prepare what we think is a meaningful, efficient
12 schedule, send it to plaintiff, and we can start discussing
13 that so we don't get behind schedule, with an aim to having it
14 done by the end of year but hopefully sooner, because it is a
15 very important issue for the defense side.

16 And, again, not to argue this today, but we do think
17 this is very analogous to Zantac, in terms of the alleged
18 impurities causing cancer, so I think we can learn from that
19 schedule and hopefully make it, you know, even more simpler
20 from here.

21 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. This is the court
22 reporter. Who was speaking then?

23 MS. COHEN: Yes, it was Lori Cohen. Sorry to speak
24 quickly on that.

25 THE COURT: Are there any other issues that we need

1 resolution on?

2 MR. SLATER: This is Adam Slater for the plaintiff.

3 I don't believe so.

4 MR. GOLDBERG: And this is Seth Goldberg for
5 defendants. No, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Okay. You must all be wondering what the
7 heck is going on in the court system with the virus and the
8 COVID. My biggest concern is not actually having in-court
9 proceedings. I think we will be doing more and more in that.
10 I've already done one recently, and we do have a courtroom set
11 up for it. We have to, I think, restrict the number of people
12 who come in.

13 The biggest concern I have, frankly, is these orders
14 by the various states for quarantine. New Jersey currently
15 has about 30 states on its list, that if you come in from that
16 state into New Jersey, you're supposed to voluntarily
17 quarantine for 14 days. It's impossible for those of you who
18 are in those states then to come to New Jersey, and I'm sure
19 there is a reciprocal agreement in some of your states that if
20 you go to New Jersey, when you come back to your state, you
21 need to quarantine. So I think that's the biggest obstacle
22 we're going to have to in-person meetings at this point,
23 although I think the Court will be prepared, come September,
24 when we open an additional courtroom up, to have the meetings.
25 So I think we ought to do this by phone conference

1 again in August, and then we'll have more information at that
2 time, in another month, as to these issues about traveling to
3 New Jersey, and I'll be better able to tell you, if we are
4 able to schedule in-person conferences, how many people we can
5 handle and things of that nature, and the precautions we're
6 taking. Everybody is wearing masks. We have all kinds of
7 plexiglass dividers in the courtroom and all that kind of
8 stuff. But I can give you more details in the future about
9 what we're doing about the courtrooms.

10 And if you're interested, we're not doing jury trials
11 for -- we probably won't be doing jury trials until November
12 in the District of New Jersey, and because of the severe
13 shortage of judges we have and the severe backlog of criminal
14 cases that we have, particularly with defendants in custody
15 and their speedy trial rights, I don't foresee civil trials
16 until sometime next year in the District of New Jersey, just
17 for those of you who were interested in that. But that's
18 where we are in terms of the COVID precautions in the District
19 of New Jersey.

20 Somebody was going to say something. Go ahead.

21 JUDGE SCHNEIDER: Judge, this is Judge Schneider. I
22 was going to say it's like the Hotel California. You can
23 check out but you can't leave.

24 (Laughter.)

25 THE COURT: Exactly. It's a problem, this

1 quarantine, anyway.

2 Anything else anyone would like to talk to today?
3 Assure me, please, that all of you are healthy and your
4 families are well and everybody is doing well in these days?
5 These are crazy days.

6 As far as I know, all the judges in the District of
7 New Jersey are well. Well, Judge Salas is not well, but
8 that's got nothing to do with the damn virus. That's a
9 completely horrible situation she has found herself in. But
10 in terms of the virus and all that, the courthouses are in
11 pretty good shape in New Jersey.

12 Well, stay well, everyone, and we will talk to you
13 soon. Obviously, you know we're available, and hang in there.
14 And good luck to everybody. Thank you very much.

15 (The proceedings concluded at 11:40 a.m.)

16

17 - - - - -

18

19 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
20 from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

21

22 /S/ Carol Farrell, NJ-CRCR, FCRR, RDR, CRR, RMR, CRC, CRI
23 Court Reporter/Transcriber

24 August 07, 2020
25 Date

/	3	33:7, 49:15 ability [1] - 45:18	28:25 alternatively [1] - 24:4	10:23, 11:6, 11:7, 11:9, 12:19, 46:1, 48:1, 49:24
/S [1] - 49:22	30 [15] - 2:11, 8:18, 8:20, 9:12, 10:24, 11:2, 11:10, 17:10, 17:12, 17:24, 19:17, 22:6, 23:4, 42:14, 47:15	able [5] - 9:25, 24:11, 42:18, 48:3, 48:4	ambiguity [1] - 12:8 amenable [1] - 43:18	available [10] - 5:17, 15:4, 18:16, 25:10, 25:15, 26:3, 26:11, 26:16, 33:10, 49:13
0		above-entitled [1] - 49:20	AMERICAS [1] - 2:19 AmerisourceBergen [2] - 2:24, 5:9	AVENUE [2] - 2:7, 2:19
07 [1] - 49:24 07068 [1] - 1:15 08101 [1] - 1:7	300 [1] - 2:16 30327 [1] - 3:5 316 [1] - 1:21 31st [5] - 10:5, 10:14, 10:23, 11:8, 23:25 32502 [1] - 1:21 3333 [1] - 3:4 35 [3] - 8:9, 8:12, 8:14	absolutely [1] - 20:7 accept [1] - 27:18 acceptable [1] - 28:8 accepted [2] - 13:13, 24:9 according [1] - 17:22 accurately [1] - 36:8 action [3] - 10:2, 11:6, 37:25 ACTION [1] - 1:2 actions [2] - 40:25, 41:13	analogous [1] - 46:17 AND [2] - 1:5, 1:10 ANGELES [1] - 2:16 announce [1] - 4:7 answer [11] - 9:6, 14:21, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 18:19, 19:18, 19:20, 19:23, 21:24, 23:10	avenues [1] - 26:5 AvKARE [5] - 3:9, 16:6, 16:14, 16:18, 18:3 avoid [2] - 24:7, 38:18 aware [1] - 34:16
1		actual [1] - 44:4 ad [1] - 13:1 ADAM [1] - 1:14 Adam [7] - 4:11, 9:3, 9:5, 29:17, 37:5, 38:22, 47:2 add [2] - 13:19, 44:19 addition [1] - 35:19 additional [6] - 9:2, 10:9, 10:12, 11:2, 11:8, 47:24 address [6] - 5:15, 5:19, 5:21, 5:22, 6:22, 45:15 addressed [1] - 28:17 admittedly [1] - 40:2 advantage [1] - 16:19 affected [1] - 23:20 affidavit [1] - 5:12 afternoon [3] - 6:1, 28:3, 30:17 aggressive [1] - 30:4 ago [3] - 14:24, 35:14, 41:25 agree [6] - 30:11, 30:18, 31:14, 32:5, 32:12, 36:11 agreed [2] - 10:1, 22:4 agreeing [1] - 29:22 agreement [4] - 10:13, 14:3, 32:1, 47:19 ahead [8] - 9:20, 21:10, 28:12, 29:14, 29:16, 37:9, 39:20, 48:20 aided [1] - 1:25 aim [1] - 46:13 aligned [1] - 39:1 alleged [1] - 46:17 allow [2] - 20:5, 40:6 almost [1] - 46:1 alternative [2] - 13:14,	amounts [1] - 31:6 answer [11] - 9:6, 14:21, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 18:19, 19:18, 19:20, 19:23, 21:24, 23:10 answered [3] - 8:13, 12:20, 20:13 answering [2] - 10:3, 17:19 anticipate [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 22:20, 42:12 anyway [2] - 34:22, 49:1 apologize [2] - 16:22, 30:9 appearance [2] - 4:5, 4:10 appearances [1] - 4:19 appeared [1] - 35:17 applicable [1] - 18:9 applied [1] - 23:24 applies [1] - 25:18 apply [1] - 20:15 appreciate [1] - 45:14 approach [1] - 28:10 appropriate [2] - 41:1, 41:5 approve [1] - 14:8 approximate [1] - 8:7 area [1] - 31:19 argue [1] - 46:16 ARGUMENT [1] - 1:5 argument [2] - 12:15, 13:8 assigned [1] - 38:5 assume [1] - 33:9 assumed [1] - 8:24 assure [2] - 34:16, 49:3 ATLANTA [1] - 3:5 attached [1] - 41:21 attend [1] - 35:18 attorney [1] - 32:3 attorney-client [1] - 32:3 August [12] - 10:3, 10:5, 10:13, 10:22,	background [1] - 40:6 backlog [1] - 48:13 bankruptcy [2] - 12:9, 12:17 BARBARA [1] - 2:10 Barbara [1] - 7:5 BARNES [1] - 2:14 basis [1] - 39:12 batch [5] - 22:21, 24:5, 24:11, 25:9, 26:18 BAYLEN [1] - 1:21 becomes [1] - 24:17 behalf [13] - 4:12, 5:6, 5:8, 6:9, 7:6, 15:21, 15:22, 16:18, 33:24, 36:4, 37:17, 39:19, 44:13 behind [3] - 6:25, 27:5, 46:13 belong [2] - 15:19, 25:25 benefit [2] - 5:24, 45:6 Benicar [1] - 43:18 BERNE [1] - 2:22 best [1] - 31:17 better [3] - 31:21, 39:6, 48:3 BIASGAARD [1] - 3:7 big [4] - 13:9, 13:13, 26:1, 45:24 biggest [3] - 47:8, 47:13, 47:21 bit [3] - 10:23, 19:23, 40:5 blessing [1] - 27:2 bodily [1] - 9:13 boils [1] - 15:12 break [1] - 25:3 brief [1] - 43:12 briefly [1] - 40:1 BRISBOIS [1] - 3:7
10019 [1] - 2:20 103 [1] - 1:14 10:20 [2] - 1:8, 4:2 11:11 [1] - 33:3 11:15 [1] - 33:7 11:40 [1] - 49:15 12 [4] - 35:12, 35:19, 36:3, 36:14 1301 [1] - 2:19 14 [2] - 37:7, 47:17 15 [8] - 10:22, 11:6, 11:9, 12:20, 17:5, 37:7, 43:6 15th [1] - 10:3 17TH [1] - 2:11 1835 [1] - 1:17 19-2875 [1] - 4:4 19-md-02875-RBK- JS [1] - 1:3 19087 [1] - 3:8 19103 [2] - 1:18, 2:11 1st [2] - 23:25, 31:8	4	actions [2] - 40:25, 41:13 actual [1] - 44:4 ad [1] - 13:1 ADAM [1] - 1:14 Adam [7] - 4:11, 9:3, 9:5, 29:17, 37:5, 38:22, 47:2 add [2] - 13:19, 44:19 addition [1] - 35:19 additional [6] - 9:2, 10:9, 10:12, 11:2, 11:8, 47:24 address [6] - 5:15, 5:19, 5:21, 5:22, 6:22, 45:15 addressed [1] - 28:17 admittedly [1] - 40:2 advantage [1] - 16:19 affected [1] - 23:20 affidavit [1] - 5:12 afternoon [3] - 6:1, 28:3, 30:17 aggressive [1] - 30:4 ago [3] - 14:24, 35:14, 41:25 agree [6] - 30:11, 30:18, 31:14, 32:5, 32:12, 36:11 agreed [2] - 10:1, 22:4 agreeing [1] - 29:22 agreement [4] - 10:13, 14:3, 32:1, 47:19 ahead [8] - 9:20, 21:10, 28:12, 29:14, 29:16, 37:9, 39:20, 48:20 aided [1] - 1:25 aim [1] - 46:13 aligned [1] - 39:1 alleged [1] - 46:17 allow [2] - 20:5, 40:6 almost [1] - 46:1 alternative [2] - 13:14,	amounts [1] - 31:6 answer [11] - 9:6, 14:21, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 18:19, 19:18, 19:20, 19:23, 21:24, 23:10 answered [3] - 8:13, 12:20, 20:13 answering [2] - 10:3, 17:19 anticipate [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 22:20, 42:12 anyway [2] - 34:22, 49:1 apologize [2] - 16:22, 30:9 appearance [2] - 4:5, 4:10 appearances [1] - 4:19 appeared [1] - 35:17 applicable [1] - 18:9 applied [1] - 23:24 applies [1] - 25:18 apply [1] - 20:15 appreciate [1] - 45:14 approach [1] - 28:10 appropriate [2] - 41:1, 41:5 approve [1] - 14:8 approximate [1] - 8:7 area [1] - 31:19 argue [1] - 46:16 ARGUMENT [1] - 1:5 argument [2] - 12:15, 13:8 assigned [1] - 38:5 assume [1] - 33:9 assumed [1] - 8:24 assure [2] - 34:16, 49:3 ATLANTA [1] - 3:5 attached [1] - 41:21 attend [1] - 35:18 attorney [1] - 32:3 attorney-client [1] - 32:3 August [12] - 10:3, 10:5, 10:13, 10:22,	background [1] - 40:6 backlog [1] - 48:13 bankruptcy [2] - 12:9, 12:17 BARBARA [1] - 2:10 Barbara [1] - 7:5 BARNES [1] - 2:14 basis [1] - 39:12 batch [5] - 22:21, 24:5, 24:11, 25:9, 26:18 BAYLEN [1] - 1:21 becomes [1] - 24:17 behalf [13] - 4:12, 5:6, 5:8, 6:9, 7:6, 15:21, 15:22, 16:18, 33:24, 36:4, 37:17, 39:19, 44:13 behind [3] - 6:25, 27:5, 46:13 belong [2] - 15:19, 25:25 benefit [2] - 5:24, 45:6 Benicar [1] - 43:18 BERNE [1] - 2:22 best [1] - 31:17 better [3] - 31:21, 39:6, 48:3 BIASGAARD [1] - 3:7 big [4] - 13:9, 13:13, 26:1, 45:24 biggest [3] - 47:8, 47:13, 47:21 bit [3] - 10:23, 19:23, 40:5 blessing [1] - 27:2 bodily [1] - 9:13 boils [1] - 15:12 break [1] - 25:3 brief [1] - 43:12 briefly [1] - 40:1 BRISBOIS [1] - 3:7
2	5	aggressive [1] - 30:4 ago [3] - 14:24, 35:14, 41:25 agree [6] - 30:11, 30:18, 31:14, 32:5, 32:12, 36:11 agreed [2] - 10:1, 22:4 agreeing [1] - 29:22 agreement [4] - 10:13, 14:3, 32:1, 47:19 ahead [8] - 9:20, 21:10, 28:12, 29:14, 29:16, 37:9, 39:20, 48:20 aided [1] - 1:25 aim [1] - 46:13 aligned [1] - 39:1 alleged [1] - 46:17 allow [2] - 20:5, 40:6 almost [1] - 46:1 alternative [2] - 13:14,	amounts [1] - 31:6 answer [11] - 9:6, 14:21, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 18:19, 19:18, 19:20, 19:23, 21:24, 23:10 answered [3] - 8:13, 12:20, 20:13 answering [2] - 10:3, 17:19 anticipate [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 22:20, 42:12 anyway [2] - 34:22, 49:1 apologize [2] - 16:22, 30:9 appearance [2] - 4:5, 4:10 appearances [1] - 4:19 appeared [1] - 35:17 applicable [1] - 18:9 applied [1] - 23:24 applies [1] - 25:18 apply [1] - 20:15 appreciate [1] - 45:14 approach [1] - 28:10 appropriate [2] - 41:1, 41:5 approve [1] - 14:8 approximate [1] - 8:7 area [1] - 31:19 argue [1] - 46:16 ARGUMENT [1] - 1:5 argument [2] - 12:15, 13:8 assigned [1] - 38:5 assume [1] - 33:9 assumed [1] - 8:24 assure [2] - 34:16, 49:3 ATLANTA [1] - 3:5 attached [1] - 41:21 attend [1] - 35:18 attorney [1] - 32:3 attorney-client [1] - 32:3 August [12] - 10:3, 10:5, 10:13, 10:22,	background [1] - 40:6 backlog [1] - 48:13 bankruptcy [2] - 12:9, 12:17 BARBARA [1] - 2:10 Barbara [1] - 7:5 BARNES [1] - 2:14 basis [1] - 39:12 batch [5] - 22:21, 24:5, 24:11, 25:9, 26:18 BAYLEN [1] - 1:21 becomes [1] - 24:17 behalf [13] - 4:12, 5:6, 5:8, 6:9, 7:6, 15:21, 15:22, 16:18, 33:24, 36:4, 37:17, 39:19, 44:13 behind [3] - 6:25, 27:5, 46:13 belong [2] - 15:19, 25:25 benefit [2] - 5:24, 45:6 Benicar [1] - 43:18 BERNE [1] - 2:22 best [1] - 31:17 better [3] - 31:21, 39:6, 48:3 BIASGAARD [1] - 3:7 big [4] - 13:9, 13:13, 26:1, 45:24 biggest [3] - 47:8, 47:13, 47:21 bit [3] - 10:23, 19:23, 40:5 blessing [1] - 27:2 bodily [1] - 9:13 boils [1] - 15:12 break [1] - 25:3 brief [1] - 43:12 briefly [1] - 40:1 BRISBOIS [1] - 3:7
20 [10] - 7:25, 8:1, 8:25, 9:2, 10:9, 10:21, 11:2, 11:8, 12:19, 12:23 2012 [1] - 23:25 2019 [4] - 23:25, 35:6, 40:8, 40:9 2020 [6] - 1:8, 35:3, 35:4, 41:24, 49:24 2029 [1] - 2:16 2150 [1] - 2:7 2500 [1] - 3:4 26 [1] - 40:19 270 [1] - 3:8 28 [1] - 33:18 2800 [1] - 2:23 29 [1] - 1:8 2900 [1] - 1:17	6	aggressive [1] - 30:4 ago [3] - 14:24, 35:14, 41:25 agree [6] - 30:11, 30:18, 31:14, 32:5, 32:12, 36:11 agreed [2] - 10:1, 22:4 agreeing [1] - 29:22 agreement [4] - 10:13, 14:3, 32:1, 47:19 ahead [8] - 9:20, 21:10, 28:12, 29:14, 29:16, 37:9, 39:20, 48:20 aided [1] - 1:25 aim [1] - 46:13 aligned [1] - 39:1 alleged [1] - 46:17 allow [2] - 20:5, 40:6 almost [1] - 46:1 alternative [2] - 13:14,	amounts [1] - 31:6 answer [11] - 9:6, 14:21, 17:11, 17:16, 17:25, 18:19, 19:18, 19:20, 19:23, 21:24, 23:10 answered [3] - 8:13, 12:20, 20:13 answering [2] - 10:3, 17:19 anticipate [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 22:20, 42:12 anyway [2] - 34:22, 49:1 apologize [2] - 16:22, 30:9 appearance [2] - 4:5, 4:10 appearances [1] - 4:19 appeared [1] - 35:17 applicable [1] - 18:9 applied [1] - 23:24 applies [1] - 25:18 apply [1] - 20:15 appreciate [1] - 45:14 approach [1] - 28:10 appropriate [2] - 41:1, 41:5 approve [1] - 14:8 approximate [1] - 8:7 area [1] - 31:19 argue [1] - 46:16 ARGUMENT [1] - 1:5 argument [2] - 12:15, 13:8 assigned [1] - 38:5 assume [1] - 33:9 assumed [1] - 8:24 assure [2] - 34:16, 49:3 ATLANTA [1] - 3:5 attached [1] - 41:21 attend [1] - 35:18 attorney [1] - 32:3 attorney-client [1] - 32:3 August [12] - 10:3, 10:5, 10:13, 10:22,	background [1] - 40:6 backlog [1] - 48:13 bankruptcy [2] - 12:9, 12:17 BARBARA [1] - 2:10 Barbara [1] - 7:5 BARNES [1] - 2:14 basis [1] - 39:12 batch [5] - 22:21, 24:5, 24:11, 25:9, 26:18 BAYLEN [1] - 1:21 becomes [1] - 24:17 behalf [13] - 4:12, 5:6, 5:8, 6:9, 7:6, 15:21, 15:22, 16:18, 33:24, 36:4, 37:17, 39:19, 44:13 behind [3] - 6:25, 27:5, 46:13 belong [2] - 15:19, 25:25 benefit [2] - 5:24, 45:6 Benicar [1] - 43:18 BERNE [1] - 2:22 best [1] - 31:17 better [3] - 31:21, 39:6, 48:3 BIASGAARD [1] - 3:7 big [4] - 13:9, 13:13, 26:1, 45:24 biggest [3] - 47:8, 47:13, 47:21 bit [3] - 10:23, 19:23, 40:5 blessing [1] - 27:2 bodily [1] - 9:13 boils [1] - 15:12 break [1] - 25:3 brief [1] - 43:12 briefly [1] - 40:1 BRISBOIS [1] - 3:7
2010 [1] - 7:25, 8:1, 8:25, 9:2, 10:9, 10:21, 11:2, 11:8, 12:19, 12:23 2012 [1] - 23:25 2019 [4] - 23:25, 35:6, 40:8, 40:9 2020 [6] - 1:8, 35:3, 35:4, 41:24, 49:24 2029 [1] - 2:16 2150 [1] - 2:7 2500 [1] - 3:4 26 [1] - 40:19 270 [1] - 3:8 28 [1] - 33:18 2800 [1] - 2:23 29 [1] - 1:8 2900 [1] - 1:17	7	aggressive [1] - 30:4 ago [3] - 14:24, 35:14, 41:25 agree [6] - 30:11, 30:18, 31:14, 32:5, 32:12, 36:11 agreed [2] - 10:1, 22:4 agreeing [1] - 29:22 agreement [4] - 10:13, 14:3, 32:1, 47:19 ahead [8] - 9:20, 21:10, 28:12, 29:14, 29:16, 37:9, 39:20, 48:20 aided [1] - 1:25 aim [1] - 46:13 aligned [1] - 39:1 alleged [1] - 46:17 allow [2] -		

<p>broad [2] - 21:22, 42:16</p> <p>broader [1] - 24:16</p> <p>broadly [1] - 23:16</p> <p>building [1] - 45:17</p> <p>Building [1] - 1:6</p> <p>burst [1] - 45:24</p> <p>business [2] - 5:16, 24:20</p> <p>busy [1] - 40:16</p> <p>BY [11] - 1:14, 1:17, 1:20, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:15, 2:19, 2:22, 3:3, 3:7</p>	<p>causation [11] - 27:10, 39:10, 41:12, 41:20, 42:5, 42:23, 43:19, 44:12, 44:24, 45:8, 46:4</p> <p>caused [4] - 39:13, 44:1, 44:5</p> <p>causing [1] - 46:18</p> <p>centrality [1] - 34:15</p> <p>CENTURY [1] - 2:16</p> <p>certain [1] - 13:4</p> <p>certainly [8] - 10:18, 26:20, 28:7, 29:12, 29:19, 29:23, 35:23, 41:17</p> <p>certify [1] - 49:19</p> <p>cfarrell.crr@gmail.com [1] - 1:23</p> <p>chain [3] - 7:11, 7:20, 12:2</p> <p>chance [1] - 24:14</p> <p>chances [1] - 32:2</p> <p>change [3] - 13:1, 20:8, 41:1</p> <p>check [1] - 48:23</p> <p>chime [1] - 20:6</p> <p>choosing [1] - 29:3</p> <p>CINCINNATI [1] - 2:23</p> <p>circulated [1] - 35:19</p> <p>CIVIL [1] - 1:2</p> <p>civil [1] - 48:15</p> <p>claim [2] - 39:12, 44:4</p> <p>claims [4] - 36:2, 43:22, 43:25, 44:17</p> <p>clarify [1] - 18:17</p> <p>class [9] - 7:25, 8:7, 8:9, 10:2, 11:6, 20:6, 20:17, 40:25, 41:13</p> <p>class-action [2] - 10:2, 11:6</p> <p>classes [1] - 42:16</p> <p>cleaning [1] - 14:4</p> <p>clear [2] - 15:18, 27:20</p> <p>clearly [2] - 15:16, 39:11</p> <p>client [4] - 12:8, 17:1, 18:18, 32:3</p> <p>clients [3] - 12:2, 14:6, 26:19</p> <p>clients' [2] - 12:1, 25:9</p> <p>close [1] - 6:23</p> <p>closed [2] - 41:3, 43:7</p> <p>closed-door [1] - 41:3</p> <p>closest [1] - 7:20</p> <p>CMC [2] - 40:8, 40:12</p> <p>CMML [1] - 31:5</p> <p>CMO [2] - 16:17, 16:20</p> <p>codes [1] - 25:19</p> <p>COHEN [6] - 3:3, 4:23, 39:19, 39:24, 46:10,</p>	<p>46:23</p> <p>Cohen [5] - 1:6, 4:24, 32:24, 39:19, 46:23</p> <p>colleagues [1] - 20:6</p> <p>collecting [1] - 17:1</p> <p>column [1] - 35:3</p> <p>comfortable [2] - 27:23, 30:16</p> <p>coming [1] - 24:23</p> <p>Commencing [1] - 1:8</p> <p>comments [1] - 40:10</p> <p>communication [1] - 27:17</p> <p>company [3] - 22:10, 22:17, 24:23</p> <p>complaints [2] - 27:6, 42:15</p> <p>complete [12] - 9:10, 9:15, 11:22, 11:23, 12:7, 12:12, 12:16, 13:3, 13:7, 13:10, 38:7</p> <p>completely [1] - 49:9</p> <p>completion [2] - 8:15, 13:8</p> <p>compromise [4] - 18:1, 22:8, 23:23, 27:22</p> <p>computer [1] - 1:25</p> <p>computer-aided [1] - 1:25</p> <p>conceivable [1] - 44:1</p> <p>concepts [1] - 28:17</p> <p>concern [5] - 24:1, 26:2, 45:10, 47:8, 47:13</p> <p>concerns [1] - 13:2</p> <p>concluded [1] - 49:15</p> <p>concrete [2] - 28:19, 28:24</p> <p>confer [3] - 6:10, 35:16, 40:5</p> <p>CONFERENCE [1] - 1:5</p> <p>conference [7] - 4:7, 34:22, 34:24, 36:15, 40:20, 47:25</p> <p>conferences [1] - 48:4</p> <p>conferred [1] - 6:3</p> <p>confers [1] - 35:10</p> <p>confident [1] - 31:24</p> <p>CONLEE [1] - 2:3</p> <p>Conlee [1] - 4:17</p> <p>consider [1] - 12:17</p> <p>considerable [1] - 42:7</p> <p>consideration [1] - 12:23</p> <p>considering [2] - 31:1, 31:11</p>	<p>consistent [2] - 42:25, 43:1</p> <p>constructive [1] - 43:13</p> <p>consultant [1] - 6:5</p> <p>consumer [1] - 7:20</p> <p>contact [4] - 32:18, 32:25, 34:14, 39:5</p> <p>containing [2] - 44:2, 44:6</p> <p>context [1] - 45:16</p> <p>continue [3] - 36:24, 44:14, 46:5</p> <p>Continued [2] - 2:1, 3:1</p> <p>continuing [1] - 29:5</p> <p>conversation [4] - 6:7, 9:22, 9:25, 18:4</p> <p>Cooper [1] - 1:7</p> <p>coordinate [1] - 38:12</p> <p>coordination [2] - 27:8, 37:1</p> <p>core [2] - 33:16, 40:17</p> <p>corner [1] - 22:11</p> <p>correct [14] - 7:24, 8:1, 8:7, 26:25, 33:20, 33:22, 34:1, 35:5, 35:8, 35:9, 37:4, 37:6, 39:8, 49:19</p> <p>correctly [1] - 22:8</p> <p>counsel [15] - 4:6, 4:20, 7:3, 7:13, 16:14, 18:4, 22:8, 27:17, 35:11, 35:17, 35:18, 35:21, 35:24, 37:13, 40:3</p> <p>course [4] - 16:18, 24:19, 41:1, 45:7</p> <p>court [9] - 4:8, 27:8, 37:2, 37:21, 37:24, 38:1, 46:21, 47:7, 47:8</p> <p>Court [27] - 1:23, 6:1, 7:23, 13:3, 13:12, 14:8, 16:24, 17:20, 19:25, 20:6, 20:20, 27:23, 28:3, 28:9, 29:3, 29:12, 29:21, 31:1, 31:10, 36:13, 37:3, 37:19, 42:22, 43:7, 43:12, 47:23, 49:22</p> <p>COURT [75] - 1:1, 4:3, 4:19, 5:10, 7:12, 7:16, 7:22, 8:3, 8:6, 8:11, 8:17, 8:22, 10:8, 10:15, 10:20, 11:14, 11:19, 12:13, 12:24, 13:21, 14:9,</p>	<p>14:11, 15:7, 16:3, 16:9, 16:11, 16:21, 16:23, 17:2, 17:8, 18:13, 18:17, 19:8, 19:13, 20:3, 20:20, 21:8, 21:10, 22:7, 22:15, 23:18, 25:1, 25:4, 25:5, 25:23, 26:23, 28:12, 29:16, 30:7, 30:23, 32:11, 32:22, 33:8, 33:25, 34:6, 34:11, 34:23, 35:7, 36:4, 36:13, 36:25, 37:9, 37:18, 38:9, 38:15, 38:21, 39:3, 39:9, 39:23, 43:15, 46:1, 46:21, 46:25, 47:6, 48:25</p> <p>Court's [9] - 7:23, 12:24, 13:24, 19:15, 20:3, 23:3, 26:19, 26:25, 30:10</p> <p>Courthouse [1] - 1:6</p> <p>courthouses [1] - 49:10</p> <p>courtroom [3] - 47:10, 47:24, 48:7</p> <p>courtrooms [1] - 48:9</p> <p>COVID [3] - 40:21, 47:8, 48:18</p> <p>crazy [1] - 49:5</p> <p>CRC [1] - 49:22</p> <p>CRCR [1] - 49:22</p> <p>creating [1] - 28:8</p> <p>CRI [1] - 49:22</p> <p>criminal [1] - 48:13</p> <p>crosstalk [2] - 9:18, 13:25</p> <p>CRR [1] - 49:22</p> <p>curious [1] - 39:4</p> <p>custody [1] - 48:14</p> <p>CVS [6] - 2:17, 22:10, 22:17, 22:23, 23:9, 23:16</p>
C				
<p>CAL [1] - 31:5</p> <p>California [1] - 48:22</p> <p>CALIFORNIA [1] - 2:16</p> <p>call-in [1] - 33:1</p> <p>callback [1] - 32:1</p> <p>Camden [1] - 1:7</p> <p>CAMP [1] - 2:3</p> <p>cancer [14] - 39:11, 40:10, 40:14, 41:15, 42:2, 42:8, 42:14, 42:16, 42:17, 43:6, 43:22, 44:1, 44:17, 46:18</p> <p>cancers [4] - 39:13, 42:11, 44:4, 44:23</p> <p>candid [1] - 6:7</p> <p>cannot [1] - 42:16</p> <p>capital [1] - 13:6</p> <p>care [3] - 13:16, 20:4, 26:24</p> <p>Carol [2] - 1:23, 49:22</p> <p>case [40] - 14:18, 15:1, 15:3, 15:6, 15:14, 15:16, 15:24, 15:25, 16:12, 16:15, 17:10, 17:14, 17:15, 17:19, 20:15, 20:17, 26:9, 30:2, 32:2, 33:20, 34:3, 37:7, 37:21, 37:22, 38:1, 38:4, 38:5, 38:7, 38:8, 38:9, 38:14, 38:16, 38:17, 38:18, 41:9, 41:10, 41:25, 42:10, 43:17, 43:18</p> <p>cases [18] - 7:19, 9:13, 27:8, 36:10, 36:18, 37:2, 37:7, 37:11, 37:14, 37:15, 37:19, 38:10, 38:24, 41:19, 42:15, 45:9, 48:14</p> <p>categories [1] - 33:19</p>				
D				
<p>D'Lesli [2] - 22:18, 25:2</p> <p>D'LESLEI [1] - 2:19</p> <p>D.C [1] - 5:16</p> <p>damn [1] - 49:8</p> <p>DANIEL [1] - 1:20</p> <p>Daniel [4] - 4:15, 9:4, 9:8, 9:16</p> <p>data [3] - 21:15, 21:22, 22:1</p> <p>Date [1] - 49:24</p> <p>date [3] - 11:5, 11:7, 12:16</p>				

dates [2] - 24:1, 35:2
Daubert [5] - 42:6, 42:24, 43:7, 43:8, 44:7
David [2] - 34:13, 36:6
DAVIS [4] - 2:19, 22:18, 24:15, 25:3
Davis [3] - 22:18, 25:2, 25:4
days [33] - 7:14, 7:15, 8:20, 9:10, 9:12, 9:14, 10:7, 10:23, 10:25, 11:1, 11:2, 11:4, 11:6, 11:7, 11:10, 11:11, 17:5, 17:11, 17:12, 17:24, 19:17, 22:6, 23:5, 27:19, 47:17, 49:4, 49:5
deadline [4] - 9:12, 10:3, 10:4, 21:4
deadlines [4] - 10:6, 10:7, 17:6, 32:13
deal [7] - 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 13:9, 14:13, 14:14, 36:17
dealing [5] - 5:16, 6:18, 32:4, 39:14, 45:20
December [1] - 23:25
decide [1] - 27:23
decided [1] - 28:6
declared [1] - 12:9
defective [1] - 24:20
Defendant [3] - 2:20, 2:24, 3:9
defendant [4] - 7:2, 7:10, 22:14, 23:22
defendant's [2] - 12:10, 19:5
defendants [30] - 4:20, 4:22, 4:24, 5:1, 5:3, 5:6, 5:8, 7:6, 7:16, 7:20, 10:11, 11:22, 12:14, 14:2, 15:6, 15:15, 15:18, 16:2, 21:5, 23:22, 24:1, 24:9, 27:21, 28:14, 33:24, 34:18, 39:10, 45:2, 47:5, 48:14
Defendants [3] - 2:12, 2:17, 3:5
defendants' [12] - 7:8, 12:6, 13:5, 15:4, 16:17, 17:7, 18:8, 18:15, 19:2, 19:4, 20:14, 25:24
DEFENDANTS' [1] - 1:6

defense [7] - 9:11, 10:6, 29:20, 34:19, 40:22, 45:19, 46:15
defer [1] - 34:9
deficiencies [1] - 33:16
deficiency [2] - 35:12, 35:15
deficient [1] - 36:18
defined [1] - 23:15
delete [2] - 19:2, 19:7
delighted [1] - 27:4
denied [1] - 20:25
derail [2] - 40:23, 41:16
described [1] - 43:10
designated [1] - 34:19
desire [2] - 40:22, 41:4
detail [1] - 23:10
details [2] - 45:12, 48:8
devil's [1] - 45:12
devotion [1] - 31:14
DFS [2] - 18:6, 21:24
different [7] - 15:9, 20:13, 22:23, 22:24, 22:25, 24:4, 24:5
differently [1] - 15:14
direct [1] - 38:24
disagreement [1] - 6:8
disagreements [1] - 14:4
disclose [3] - 42:1, 42:11, 43:6
disclosure [1] - 31:18
discovery [9] - 16:1, 23:24, 25:19, 40:17, 40:23, 42:9, 44:9, 45:2, 45:24
discuss [4] - 27:13, 35:25, 44:14, 46:5
discussed [7] - 17:7, 26:4, 26:5, 26:8, 27:20, 28:17, 43:2
discussing [1] - 46:12
discussion [7] - 18:15, 18:20, 18:23, 28:20, 29:5, 29:8, 40:5
discussions [4] - 16:13, 28:18, 29:11, 42:25
dismiss [2] - 37:20, 38:19
dismissal [5] - 14:23, 15:4, 17:23, 18:19, 19:17
dismissed [7] - 16:16, 16:24, 17:10, 17:14,

36:11, 36:14, 37:23
dispute [5] - 7:7, 7:9, 7:10, 13:11, 38:13
disputes [3] - 8:15, 10:1, 21:7
disrupt [1] - 40:25
distinguish [1] - 23:3
distribution [2] - 12:3, 24:10
District [5] - 33:5, 48:12, 48:16, 48:18, 49:6
DISTRICT [3] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:11
diversity [1] - 38:8
dividers [1] - 48:7
Docket [1] - 4:4
document [1] - 14:5
documents [8] - 17:1, 23:8, 23:10, 31:2, 31:22, 31:25, 32:4, 45:4
done [6] - 5:14, 8:3, 32:14, 45:20, 46:14, 47:10
door [3] - 20:23, 41:3
down [8] - 8:24, 15:13, 22:11, 26:21, 35:12, 40:13, 41:8, 42:23
dozen [3] - 35:21, 35:24, 36:1
DR [1] - 30:22
Dr [5] - 5:3, 5:12, 6:4, 30:3, 30:20
draft [2] - 17:20, 29:8
drafting [1] - 30:12
drag [1] - 27:25
drill [2] - 8:24, 40:13
drugs [4] - 23:20, 39:13, 44:2, 44:6
DUANE [1] - 2:9
due [2] - 20:21, 35:14
duty [1] - 13:5

E

e-mail [1] - 35:22
early [3] - 6:17, 29:12, 30:12
EAST [1] - 2:16
economic [2] - 40:24, 45:16
effect [3] - 17:9, 17:21, 19:16
effectively [1] - 9:14
efficient [2] - 41:15, 46:11
efficiently [1] - 42:21
effort [1] - 44:9
eight [3] - 21:19,

21:21, 23:14
EISENHOWER [1] - 1:14
either [1] - 36:10
ELECTRONIC [3] - 10:19, 29:1, 34:5
end [8] - 5:23, 6:14, 6:16, 43:23, 46:3, 46:6, 46:14
ends [1] - 29:4
enormous [1] - 31:6
enter [4] - 14:8, 27:2, 30:14, 44:11
entered [6] - 6:24, 8:19, 16:17, 30:19, 32:1, 37:6
entering [1] - 30:12
entire [1] - 12:6
entirety [1] - 23:9
entitled [2] - 41:18, 49:20
entries [2] - 4:5, 4:9
ESQUIRE [15] - 1:14, 1:17, 1:20, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:10, 2:15, 2:15, 2:19, 2:22, 3:3, 3:3, 3:4, 3:7
establish [1] - 42:18
exact [1] - 30:24
exactly [3] - 26:17, 45:15, 48:25
example [2] - 12:9, 41:23
excess [1] - 12:11
Exhibit [1] - 42:3
existed [1] - 24:14
expansive [1] - 21:22
expect [4] - 4:6, 13:16, 17:12, 38:6
expense [1] - 42:7
expert [9] - 31:19, 39:12, 42:2, 42:12, 44:25, 45:6, 45:8, 45:14, 46:4
experts [1] - 44:7
expiration [1] - 24:24
explaining [1] - 18:10
extended [1] - 32:13
extends [1] - 7:10
extent [3] - 15:1, 17:9, 19:20
extra [3] - 10:24, 10:25, 11:9

F

facility [1] - 23:8
FACT [1] - 1:6
fact [58] - 6:20, 6:22, 6:23, 7:2, 7:8, 7:10,

7:17, 8:13, 8:14, 8:19, 9:11, 9:17, 10:1, 10:7, 11:23, 12:6, 12:10, 12:15, 12:20, 12:25, 13:3, 13:7, 13:22, 13:23, 14:8, 14:25, 15:19, 17:7, 17:11, 17:16, 17:19, 17:25, 18:8, 18:16, 18:19, 19:2, 19:4, 19:5, 19:9, 19:18, 19:23, 20:14, 21:6, 21:14, 21:18, 22:5, 22:22, 23:15, 25:25, 26:7, 26:24, 27:1, 27:4, 31:7, 33:16, 33:23, 35:11, 35:13
fair [1] - 18:1
faith [2] - 6:8, 28:22
families [1] - 49:4
far [2] - 35:2, 49:6
Farrell [3] - 1:23, 25:4, 49:22
favor [1] - 25:24
FCRR [1] - 49:22
February [2] - 40:7, 40:19
few [2] - 15:13, 27:19
figure [1] - 25:8
filed [9] - 7:4, 12:17, 35:8, 37:2, 37:11, 37:12, 37:15, 37:20
filing [1] - 42:6
fill [2] - 13:4, 22:21
final [5] - 14:6, 14:7, 26:25, 27:1
finalize [1] - 19:9
finalized [3] - 6:24, 13:23, 13:24
finalizing [1] - 6:23
finally [1] - 27:4
findings [1] - 30:15
fine [3] - 10:21, 11:12, 24:13
firm [1] - 37:13
firms [3] - 37:13, 37:17, 39:1
first [15] - 7:1, 7:4, 7:7, 7:9, 7:16, 22:6, 31:9, 33:19, 34:2, 34:14, 34:21, 40:8, 40:12, 43:2
FISA [1] - 5:16
five [2] - 8:16, 8:22
FL [1] - 1:21
flip [1] - 34:23
floor [1] - 27:14
Florida [1] - 41:8
focus [7] - 25:24,

<p>40:24, 41:12, 41:15, 44:4, 45:16, 46:2 followed [1] - 35:20 following [3] - 27:16, 30:1, 36:9 FOR [1] - 1:1 foregoing [1] - 49:19 foresee [1] - 48:15 forever [1] - 44:18 forgot [1] - 12:9 form [2] - 27:6, 42:15 formation [2] - 41:25, 43:5 formed [3] - 40:7, 41:8, 41:24 forth [1] - 28:1 forward [4] - 40:22, 41:5, 41:17, 46:11 frame [1] - 27:3 frankly [3] - 31:19, 31:22, 47:13 FREEMAN [1] - 1:13 Friday [6] - 14:7, 27:2, 28:2, 29:9, 30:12, 30:16 Friday's [1] - 14:9 front [3] - 20:23, 28:19, 28:24 FULBRIGHT [1] - 2:18 full [5] - 23:4, 23:10, 23:14, 31:18, 40:4 fully [1] - 41:18 fulsome [1] - 32:6 future [3] - 44:5, 44:15, 48:8</p>	<p>2:10, 4:21, 38:2, 38:11, 38:16, 39:18, 39:21, 47:4 Goldberg [9] - 4:22, 7:4, 13:22, 33:21, 38:2, 39:16, 39:20, 39:25, 47:4 Goldberg's [3] - 6:19, 14:15, 33:18 GOLDENBERG [16] - 2:6, 9:21, 10:10, 10:17, 11:12, 11:17, 11:20, 12:22, 13:20, 14:19, 20:2, 20:5, 21:2, 23:19, 25:6, 26:14 Goldenberg [20] - 9:23, 10:8, 11:18, 12:20, 13:18, 14:20, 15:23, 18:5, 18:14, 18:20, 18:23, 18:25, 19:6, 19:10, 19:24, 20:20, 25:6, 26:2, 26:8, 26:23 GOLDENBERGLAW [1] - 2:6 GOLOMB [1] - 1:16 good-faith [1] - 6:8 governing [1] - 46:4 great [6] - 14:9, 14:11, 21:8, 32:23, 36:25, 39:23 GREENBERG [1] - 3:2 Greene [4] - 5:2, 5:12, 28:12, 28:13 GREENE [5] - 3:4, 5:2, 28:11, 28:13, 29:2 Grossman [9] - 5:3, 6:4, 16:6, 16:9, 18:3, 18:17, 18:21, 30:3, 30:20 GROSSMAN [12] - 3:7, 16:5, 16:10, 16:13, 16:22, 16:25, 17:4, 18:2, 18:14, 18:21, 19:12, 30:22 Grossman's [1] - 5:12 group [4] - 6:10, 8:16, 10:6, 39:5 groups [2] - 11:11, 24:8 guess [5] - 8:23, 10:24, 11:16, 33:10, 45:12 guidelines [1] - 42:17 Guillory [1] - 35:2</p>	<p>hand [2] - 9:4, 27:25 handle [1] - 48:5 handled [2] - 24:15, 37:17 handling [2] - 33:23, 34:9 hang [2] - 32:17, 49:13 happy [4] - 11:13, 20:15, 28:16, 45:19 harm [1] - 29:24 Healthcare [1] - 2:12 healthy [1] - 49:3 hear [2] - 7:4, 43:15 hearing [1] - 43:8 heavy [1] - 40:12 heck [1] - 47:7 held [2] - 4:1, 33:4 hello [1] - 33:8 help [2] - 11:3, 16:3 helpful [2] - 28:20, 41:20 hermetically [1] - 5:15 herself [1] - 49:9 himself [1] - 5:17 hit [1] - 45:11 hold [1] - 22:15 holding [1] - 12:10 Honik [1] - 4:13 HONIK [3] - 1:16, 1:17, 4:13 Honor [60] - 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:17, 4:21, 4:23, 5:3, 5:5, 5:7, 7:5, 9:3, 9:19, 10:17, 11:12, 11:17, 13:20, 14:19, 15:11, 15:13, 16:5, 16:25, 17:6, 18:2, 19:3, 20:5, 21:2, 21:9, 21:15, 22:14, 23:19, 26:14, 27:15, 27:19, 28:11, 28:13, 29:14, 29:17, 30:22, 32:10, 32:21, 33:22, 34:8, 34:12, 35:5, 35:9, 36:6, 36:20, 37:5, 38:2, 38:11, 38:22, 39:18, 40:1, 40:6, 40:24, 43:1, 44:20, 46:8, 46:9, 47:5 Honor's [1] - 27:18 HONORABLE [2] - 1:9, 1:11 Honorable [3] - 4:1, 33:4, 33:6 hope [3] - 12:14, 14:5, 19:20 hopeful [1] - 36:9 hopefully [7] - 17:22,</p>	<p>31:15, 32:6, 34:25, 43:12, 46:14, 46:19 horrible [1] - 49:9 Hotel [1] - 48:22 Huahai [1] - 2:13 human [1] - 31:23 humans [1] - 31:21</p>	<p>initial [1] - 40:9 initiate [1] - 45:25 injury [7] - 8:1, 9:13, 10:4, 11:7, 40:23, 41:19, 45:9 instances [1] - 8:16 intend [3] - 9:1, 10:9, 36:2 interested [2] - 48:10, 48:17 interesting [3] - 14:17, 38:17, 43:17 interests [1] - 31:18 interfere [1] - 43:9 internal [1] - 45:4 introductory [1] - 7:3 inventory [1] - 24:23 invited [1] - 35:17 involved [3] - 22:19, 38:24, 41:8 issue [37] - 5:21, 5:23, 6:4, 6:20, 6:22, 7:2, 7:8, 10:9, 11:14, 12:24, 13:17, 14:16, 14:17, 17:19, 20:1, 21:13, 25:23, 26:4, 26:12, 27:12, 27:13, 27:24, 28:9, 34:13, 34:15, 34:20, 36:13, 37:1, 39:9, 39:10, 40:11, 41:12, 43:17, 43:19, 44:24, 46:15 issue's [1] - 28:5 issues [29] - 5:14, 5:18, 5:19, 5:22, 6:7, 6:18, 14:12, 14:14, 18:5, 18:6, 19:1, 19:4, 20:7, 24:25, 26:1, 28:8, 29:6, 35:12, 36:11, 37:14, 40:10, 40:14, 40:17, 41:15, 42:8, 44:10, 46:5, 46:25, 48:2 item [1] - 7:1</p>
<p>G</p>				
<p>general [11] - 27:10, 39:10, 41:12, 41:20, 42:5, 42:23, 43:19, 44:11, 44:24, 45:8, 46:4 generic [1] - 26:1 Geoppinger [7] - 5:8, 15:12, 18:9, 18:25, 21:3, 21:11, 21:12 GEOPPINGER [5] - 2:22, 5:7, 15:11, 21:11, 22:13 GEORGIA [1] - 3:5 giant [1] - 44:22 Gilman [1] - 34:14 given [3] - 6:11, 31:7, 32:4 global [1] - 20:7 globally [1] - 24:22 goal [1] - 46:2 Godfather [1] - 31:16 GOLDBERG [8] -</p>	<p>H</p>			
<p>half [1] - 12:11</p>				
		<p>hand [2] - 9:4, 27:25 handle [1] - 48:5 handled [2] - 24:15, 37:17 handling [2] - 33:23, 34:9 hang [2] - 32:17, 49:13 happy [4] - 11:13, 20:15, 28:16, 45:19 harm [1] - 29:24 Healthcare [1] - 2:12 healthy [1] - 49:3 hear [2] - 7:4, 43:15 hearing [1] - 43:8 heavy [1] - 40:12 heck [1] - 47:7 held [2] - 4:1, 33:4 hello [1] - 33:8 help [2] - 11:3, 16:3 helpful [2] - 28:20, 41:20 hermetically [1] - 5:15 herself [1] - 49:9 himself [1] - 5:17 hit [1] - 45:11 hold [1] - 22:15 holding [1] - 12:10 Honik [1] - 4:13 HONIK [3] - 1:16, 1:17, 4:13 Honor [60] - 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:17, 4:21, 4:23, 5:3, 5:5, 5:7, 7:5, 9:3, 9:19, 10:17, 11:12, 11:17, 13:20, 14:19, 15:11, 15:13, 16:5, 16:25, 17:6, 18:2, 19:3, 20:5, 21:2, 21:9, 21:15, 22:14, 23:19, 26:14, 27:15, 27:19, 28:11, 28:13, 29:14, 29:17, 30:22, 32:10, 32:21, 33:22, 34:8, 34:12, 35:5, 35:9, 36:6, 36:20, 37:5, 38:2, 38:11, 38:22, 39:18, 40:1, 40:6, 40:24, 43:1, 44:20, 46:8, 46:9, 47:5 Honor's [1] - 27:18 HONORABLE [2] - 1:9, 1:11 Honorable [3] - 4:1, 33:4, 33:6 hope [3] - 12:14, 14:5, 19:20 hopeful [1] - 36:9 hopefully [7] - 17:22,</p>	<p>31:15, 32:6, 34:25, 43:12, 46:14, 46:19 horrible [1] - 49:9 Hotel [1] - 48:22 Huahai [1] - 2:13 human [1] - 31:23 humans [1] - 31:21</p>	<p>initial [1] - 40:9 initiate [1] - 45:25 injury [7] - 8:1, 9:13, 10:4, 11:7, 40:23, 41:19, 45:9 instances [1] - 8:16 intend [3] - 9:1, 10:9, 36:2 interested [2] - 48:10, 48:17 interesting [3] - 14:17, 38:17, 43:17 interests [1] - 31:18 interfere [1] - 43:9 internal [1] - 45:4 introductory [1] - 7:3 inventory [1] - 24:23 invited [1] - 35:17 involved [3] - 22:19, 38:24, 41:8 issue [37] - 5:21, 5:23, 6:4, 6:20, 6:22, 7:2, 7:8, 10:9, 11:14, 12:24, 13:17, 14:16, 14:17, 17:19, 20:1, 21:13, 25:23, 26:4, 26:12, 27:12, 27:13, 27:24, 28:9, 34:13, 34:15, 34:20, 36:13, 37:1, 39:9, 39:10, 40:11, 41:12, 43:17, 43:19, 44:24, 46:15 issue's [1] - 28:5 issues [29] - 5:14, 5:18, 5:19, 5:22, 6:7, 6:18, 14:12, 14:14, 18:5, 18:6, 19:1, 19:4, 20:7, 24:25, 26:1, 28:8, 29:6, 35:12, 36:11, 37:14, 40:10, 40:14, 40:17, 41:15, 42:8, 44:10, 46:5, 46:25, 48:2 item [1] - 7:1</p>
				<p>J</p>
				<p>James [1] - 33:20 January [1] - 23:25 Jeff [5] - 5:2, 5:7, 15:11, 21:11, 28:13 JEFFREY [2] - 2:22, 3:4 JERSEY [2] - 1:1, 1:15 Jersey [13] - 1:7, 37:3, 37:16, 47:14, 47:16, 47:18, 47:20, 48:3, 48:12, 48:16, 48:19, 49:7, 49:11 job [1] - 13:7</p>

<p>Joel [2] - 4:1, 33:6 JOEL [1] - 1:9 Johnston [1] - 5:6 JOHNSTON [2] - 2:15, 5:5 Joining [1] - 34:5 joining [3] - 5:14, 10:19, 29:1 joins [4] - 6:21, 27:7, 27:9, 27:11 Judge [28] - 4:2, 5:13, 6:21, 10:10, 22:18, 24:15, 27:7, 27:9, 27:11, 29:15, 32:18, 32:25, 33:5, 33:6, 33:8, 33:12, 37:10, 37:18, 40:8, 40:21, 41:2, 41:9, 41:18, 42:25, 44:8, 48:21, 49:7 JUDGE [4] - 1:10, 1:11, 37:10, 48:21 judge [1] - 38:5 judges [2] - 48:13, 49:6 July [3] - 1:8, 31:8, 33:18 jump [2] - 29:11, 30:7 jury [2] - 48:10, 48:11</p>	<p>22:6, 26:24, 27:12, 35:16, 40:2, 40:19, 42:24 late [3] - 5:25, 6:11, 30:17 Laughter [1] - 48:24 Law [1] - 34:14 lawyer [3] - 34:19, 38:9, 38:17 lawyers [2] - 38:10, 39:6 lead [3] - 4:6, 4:20, 13:14 leadership [2] - 38:23, 39:5 learn [1] - 46:18 least [2] - 8:18, 43:3 leave [3] - 12:25, 13:15, 48:23 leaves [2] - 27:12, 37:9 left [5] - 6:6, 6:8, 15:14, 16:12, 17:18 legitimate [1] - 26:3 lesson [1] - 41:14 letter [13] - 6:19, 7:1, 13:21, 14:15, 27:6, 33:18, 35:6, 40:2, 41:1, 41:21, 42:3, 42:13, 43:16 letters [2] - 5:11, 35:15 letting [2] - 9:6, 27:23 level [1] - 29:23 levels [1] - 7:11 LEVIN [1] - 1:20 LEWIS [1] - 3:7 LIABILITY [1] - 1:4 lift [1] - 40:12 likely [2] - 35:6, 36:1 limit [1] - 25:17 limited [1] - 24:21 limiting [2] - 24:1, 25:19 line [3] - 5:4, 32:22 linger [1] - 32:13 list [3] - 34:24, 35:19, 47:15 listed [3] - 7:1, 35:21, 35:24 listen [1] - 10:20 listing [5] - 33:19, 34:2, 34:14, 35:1 listings [1] - 33:16 lists [1] - 35:17 literature [1] - 45:5 litigation [5] - 4:4, 16:8, 24:25, 26:13, 41:22 LITIGATION [1] - 1:4</p>	<p>live [1] - 26:20 LLC [3] - 1:13, 2:2, 2:12 LLLP [1] - 2:22 LLP [4] - 2:9, 2:14, 2:18, 3:2 local [1] - 37:13 LOCKARD [7] - 3:3, 4:25, 33:22, 34:1, 35:5, 35:9, 36:20 Lockard [4] - 4:25, 32:24, 33:23, 36:8 logic [1] - 29:5 logically [1] - 15:19 look [4] - 23:16, 38:7, 40:13, 42:10 looked [1] - 24:12 looking [4] - 13:21, 14:15, 45:3, 45:5 looks [1] - 6:22 LORI [1] - 3:3 Lori [4] - 4:23, 39:19, 39:21, 46:23 LOS [1] - 2:16 lose [1] - 32:23 loss [1] - 45:16 LOUISIANA [1] - 2:4 Ltd [1] - 2:13 luck [1] - 49:14</p>	<p>Maura [1] - 5:3 Maxine [1] - 35:2 Maxton [1] - 38:5 MAZIE [1] - 1:13 McKesson [1] - 2:20 MDL [11] - 4:4, 30:5, 37:22, 38:14, 38:18, 40:7, 41:8, 41:23, 42:1, 43:2, 43:5 mean [5] - 12:9, 25:8, 25:14, 26:8, 26:19 meaning [1] - 24:25 meaningful [1] - 46:11 mechanical [1] - 1:25 meet [3] - 35:10, 35:15, 40:4 meeting [3] - 10:19, 29:1, 34:5 meetings [3] - 44:15, 47:22, 47:24 Megan [3] - 16:5, 18:3, 18:21 MEGAN [1] - 3:7 members [1] - 34:8 mention [1] - 43:4 met [1] - 6:2 methodical [1] - 45:18 might [5] - 13:6, 23:17, 24:3, 24:5, 24:6 mind [4] - 10:6, 17:6, 30:8, 32:9 MINNEAPOLIS [1] - 2:7 minus [1] - 8:12 minutes [1] - 19:8 miss [1] - 20:6 missed [1] - 21:4 missing [1] - 12:16 misspeak [1] - 41:4 mistake [1] - 35:2 Mitchell [1] - 1:6 MITCHELL [1] - 1:20 MN [1] - 2:7 moment [1] - 36:19 Monday [5] - 28:1, 28:3, 30:17, 30:18, 32:12 month [1] - 48:2 months [11] - 14:24, 22:5, 23:4, 31:8, 35:14, 41:24, 41:25, 43:5, 43:6, 45:21 morning [14] - 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:17, 4:21, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7, 5:14, 6:15, 30:19, 33:13, 34:12, 40:1 MORRIS [1] - 2:9 most [3] - 7:19, 30:4,</p>	<p>36:10 motion [2] - 37:20, 38:19 motions [2] - 42:6, 43:7 move [6] - 10:20, 17:5, 19:22, 32:15, 40:22, 46:10 movement [1] - 38:6 moving [2] - 27:6, 30:14 MR [38] - 4:11, 4:13, 4:15, 4:21, 5:2, 5:7, 9:3, 9:5, 9:6, 9:8, 9:17, 15:11, 21:9, 21:11, 22:13, 27:15, 28:11, 28:13, 29:2, 29:14, 29:17, 32:10, 32:21, 34:8, 34:12, 36:6, 37:5, 37:12, 38:2, 38:11, 38:16, 38:22, 39:8, 39:18, 39:21, 44:20, 47:2, 47:4 MS [53] - 4:17, 4:23, 4:25, 5:5, 7:5, 7:15, 7:19, 8:1, 8:5, 8:9, 8:14, 8:21, 9:16, 9:19, 9:21, 10:10, 10:17, 11:12, 11:17, 11:20, 12:22, 13:20, 14:1, 14:10, 14:19, 16:5, 16:10, 16:13, 16:22, 16:25, 17:4, 18:2, 18:14, 18:21, 19:12, 20:2, 20:5, 21:2, 22:18, 23:19, 24:15, 25:3, 25:6, 26:14, 33:22, 34:1, 35:5, 35:9, 36:20, 39:19, 39:24, 46:10, 46:23 multiple [1] - 35:22 must [1] - 47:6</p>
<p>K</p> <p>KANNER [1] - 2:2 KATZ [1] - 1:13 keep [3] - 11:11, 25:24, 32:14 keeping [1] - 17:6 kept [2] - 23:12, 23:17 kind [5] - 6:4, 39:12, 42:5, 44:7, 48:7 kinds [2] - 44:10, 48:6 knowledge [1] - 38:23 known [1] - 24:13 knows [2] - 4:8, 8:6 KRISTEN [1] - 2:15 Kristen [4] - 9:19, 9:21, 9:24, 14:1 kudos [1] - 16:9 Kugler [10] - 5:13, 6:21, 27:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:18, 32:25, 33:5, 33:8, 37:18 KUGLER [1] - 1:11</p>	<p>L</p> <p>lack [1] - 22:20 large [1] - 35:11 LASALLE [1] - 2:7 last [9] - 5:21, 6:6,</p>	<p>M</p> <p>machinations [1] - 24:24 MAGISTRATE [1] - 1:10 Magistrate [2] - 4:2, 33:6 mail [1] - 35:22 main [1] - 45:10 majority [1] - 35:11 management [1] - 41:11 manner [1] - 43:13 manufacturer [4] - 7:2, 7:8, 13:23, 22:24 manufacturers [2] - 7:7, 7:18 March [2] - 40:9, 41:24 MARKET [1] - 1:17 Marlene [6] - 9:9, 9:20, 9:23, 11:18, 14:19, 25:6 MARLENE [1] - 2:6 masks [1] - 48:6 material [2] - 13:10, 32:3 matter [4] - 4:3, 19:8, 44:25, 49:20</p>	<p>N</p> <p>name [1] - 4:7 named [2] - 7:24, 8:7 names [1] - 10:13 narrow [2] - 25:13, 25:21 nature [1] - 48:5 nauseam [1] - 13:1 NDC [3] - 22:22, 22:24, 25:19 NE [1] - 3:4 necessarily [1] - 18:11 need [19] - 5:15, 11:25, 12:4, 14:25,</p>	

16:1, 20:17, 26:6, 32:17, 33:10, 33:15, 34:17, 36:18, 37:15, 44:6, 44:10, 44:13, 44:24, 46:25, 47:21 needs ^[1] - 41:17 negotiate ^[2] - 15:21, 18:10 negotiated ^[3] - 13:1, 15:21, 31:7 negotiating ^[1] - 22:19 network ^[1] - 24:10 never ^[1] - 24:13 new ^[1] - 37:2 NEW ^[5] - 1:1, 1:15, 2:4, 2:20 New ^[13] - 1:7, 37:3, 37:16, 47:14, 47:16, 47:18, 47:20, 48:3, 48:12, 48:16, 48:19, 49:7, 49:11 newly ^[1] - 41:8 news ^[1] - 21:3 next ^[10] - 6:17, 19:17, 29:12, 34:21, 34:24, 36:15, 37:1, 39:9, 45:21, 48:16 NIGH ^[5] - 1:20, 4:15, 9:5, 9:8, 9:17 Nigh ^[2] - 4:15, 9:8 night ^[1] - 6:6 nine ^[1] - 31:8 NJ ^[1] - 49:22 NJ-CRCR ^[1] - 49:22 nobody ^[1] - 38:24 nobody's ^[1] - 38:24 non ^[1] - 6:18 non-TAR ^[1] - 6:18 nonresponsive ^[2] - 31:2, 31:21 NORTON ^[1] - 2:18 note ^[1] - 24:19 nothing ^[2] - 32:16, 49:8 notice ^[1] - 6:11 notices ^[1] - 35:22 November ^[2] - 35:4, 48:11 number ^[18] - 5:18, 8:8, 8:12, 19:14, 19:24, 20:4, 20:24, 21:1, 21:3, 22:24, 32:18, 32:21, 33:1, 36:23, 37:2, 37:12, 41:10, 47:11 NUMBER ^[1] - 1:2 Number ^[3] - 4:4, 22:22, 35:2 numbers ^[2] - 8:18, 22:21	O object ^[1] - 15:8 objecting ^[1] - 15:9 objection ^[1] - 44:9 obstacle ^[1] - 47:21 obviously ^[8] - 27:19, 27:22, 28:7, 40:10, 40:16, 44:14, 44:21, 49:13 occurred ^[1] - 28:1 OF ^[2] - 1:1, 2:19 offer ^[2] - 31:16, 32:7 offered ^[1] - 23:23 offering ^[1] - 19:6 Official ^[1] - 1:23 often ^[1] - 7:21 OHIO ^[1] - 2:23 ON ^[1] - 1:5 one ^[21] - 12:14, 13:1, 16:7, 16:14, 19:14, 21:2, 22:23, 24:6, 24:8, 24:12, 26:9, 26:10, 28:6, 29:3, 34:2, 37:20, 37:21, 38:10, 41:21, 41:23, 47:10 open ^[1] - 47:24 opinion ^[1] - 30:13 opportunities ^[1] - 35:22 opportunity ^[2] - 18:4, 40:15 opposed ^[6] - 11:1, 11:10, 18:11, 19:5, 28:2, 37:16 ORAL ^[1] - 1:5 order ^[12] - 16:24, 19:15, 20:16, 26:25, 27:2, 30:12, 30:13, 30:15, 30:18, 32:1, 36:3, 36:10 ordered ^[3] - 7:23, 24:23, 25:12 orders ^[6] - 33:17, 36:13, 37:6, 44:11, 46:4, 47:13 original ^[1] - 11:1 originally ^[1] - 23:19 ORLEANS ^[1] - 2:4 otherwise ^[2] - 36:11, 40:18 ought ^[2] - 33:10, 47:25 outside ^[1] - 12:5 over-ordered ^[1] - 24:23 overly ^[1] - 12:4 oversight ^[2] - 12:18, 13:10	own ^[1] - 15:15 P P.A ^[1] - 1:20 P.C ^[1] - 1:16 Page ^[1] - 14:15 PAPANTONIO ^[1] - 1:20 paragraph ^[3] - 7:3, 7:7, 7:9 parallel ^[6] - 41:2, 41:5, 41:13, 43:9, 44:9, 45:11 parcel ^[1] - 42:8 PARK ^[1] - 2:16 PARKWAY ^[1] - 1:14 part ^[10] - 9:25, 10:12, 16:16, 17:15, 18:18, 19:17, 19:21, 22:19, 32:19, 42:8 particular ^[7] - 22:9, 22:10, 22:12, 22:17, 23:11, 25:23, 29:22 particularly ^[1] - 48:14 parties ^[8] - 4:22, 6:8, 15:10, 28:19, 36:22, 38:3, 38:20, 42:7 past ^[1] - 40:16 patchwork ^[1] - 24:16 pending ^[1] - 37:19 PENNSYLVANIA ^[3] - 1:18, 2:11, 3:8 PENSACOLA ^[1] - 1:21 people ^[15] - 5:24, 7:25, 8:20, 9:2, 10:9, 10:22, 11:2, 11:8, 12:19, 33:9, 33:19, 35:7, 45:5, 47:11, 48:4 perfect ^[1] - 26:16 perfectly ^[3] - 26:3, 30:16 perhaps ^[1] - 28:4 period ^[13] - 21:19, 22:4, 22:12, 23:2, 23:3, 23:4, 23:13, 23:14, 23:15, 23:22, 23:24, 25:18 peripheral ^[5] - 14:23, 15:3, 15:15, 15:18, 16:17 person ^[6] - 24:4, 31:4, 31:23, 40:19, 47:22, 48:4 personal ^[6] - 8:1, 10:4, 11:7, 40:23, 41:19, 45:8 perspective ^[1] -	29:10 PFS ^[2] - 35:8, 36:18 ph ^[1] - 38:5 Pharmaceuticals ^[2] - 2:12, 2:13 pharmacies ^[1] - 22:3 pharmacy ^[16] - 5:6, 7:21, 11:24, 13:22, 13:23, 14:2, 21:19, 22:2, 22:14, 22:25, 23:6, 23:11, 23:12, 23:21, 24:6, 25:11 Pharmacy ^[1] - 2:17 PHILADELPHIA ^[2] - 1:18, 2:11 phone ^[6] - 16:4, 29:11, 30:17, 30:21, 33:12, 47:25 picking ^[1] - 22:10 PIEDMONT ^[1] - 3:4 pill ^[4] - 12:1, 24:4, 24:6, 24:14 pills ^[4] - 24:10, 24:11, 25:9, 25:15 place ^[7] - 14:23, 36:12, 36:21, 41:16, 42:4, 46:3, 46:6 plaintiff ^[20] - 4:14, 8:2, 11:21, 13:3, 13:6, 21:20, 21:25, 22:3, 22:9, 22:17, 22:21, 23:21, 24:12, 25:20, 33:23, 34:6, 35:11, 35:13, 46:12, 47:2 plaintiff's ^[6] - 21:18, 23:5, 35:21, 38:9, 38:17, 40:25 Plaintiffs ^[5] - 1:15, 1:18, 1:22, 2:4, 2:8 plaintiffs ^[60] - 4:9, 4:12, 4:16, 4:18, 6:2, 6:9, 6:16, 8:2, 8:18, 8:23, 8:25, 9:1, 9:23, 10:2, 10:4, 10:8, 10:21, 11:6, 11:7, 14:3, 14:16, 15:17, 16:1, 16:19, 20:11, 20:13, 20:15, 20:22, 21:14, 21:23, 23:18, 24:17, 26:10, 28:21, 28:24, 31:3, 31:7, 31:10, 31:12, 31:15, 31:18, 31:24, 31:25, 32:7, 34:13, 36:1, 36:5, 36:7, 40:3, 42:1, 42:11, 42:17, 43:5, 43:13, 43:25, 44:4, 44:13, 44:22 plaintiffs' ^[13] - 4:5,	6:5, 6:12, 13:14, 18:4, 22:22, 30:4, 33:16, 35:10, 35:17, 35:18, 38:10, 39:6 plan ^[1] - 17:22 Plano ^[1] - 23:8 plans ^[1] - 16:18 platform ^[1] - 34:16 plexiglass ^[1] - 48:7 PLLC ^[1] - 2:6 plus ^[1] - 8:12 point ^[13] - 20:14, 21:20, 28:18, 33:11, 35:25, 38:6, 39:2, 42:6, 42:23, 43:22, 44:23, 45:17, 47:22 pointed ^[1] - 15:13 portion ^[1] - 33:24 position ^[7] - 6:13, 12:6, 29:3, 29:21, 30:11, 43:21, 44:21 possible ^[1] - 31:19 practicalities ^[1] - 45:20 pre ^[1] - 40:21 pre-COVID ^[1] - 40:21 precautions ^[2] - 48:5, 48:18 prepare ^[1] - 46:11 prepared ^[2] - 30:14, 47:23 prescription ^[2] - 22:6, 22:9 presumably ^[1] - 45:3 pretty ^[3] - 13:16, 15:5, 49:11 pricing ^[6] - 19:25, 20:1, 20:7, 20:10, 20:18, 20:24 Princeton ^[1] - 2:12 priority ^[1] - 43:10 privilege ^[2] - 16:7, 32:5 privileged ^[1] - 32:3 problem ^[3] - 13:13, 26:21, 48:25 proceed ^[5] - 6:11, 34:3, 36:2, 41:19, 42:21 Proceedings ^[1] - 1:25 proceedings ^[3] - 47:9, 49:15, 49:20 PROCEEDINGS ^[2] - 4:1, 33:4 process ^[16] - 12:11, 14:23, 16:16, 16:25, 17:5, 17:23, 17:24, 18:19, 19:17, 19:19, 19:22, 28:22, 32:7,
---	--	--	--	--

<p>36:12, 36:21, 36:24 PROCTOR [1] - 1:20 produce [3] - 23:11, 31:2, 31:23 produced [1] - 1:25 producing [1] - 23:8 product [10] - 22:2, 24:3, 24:20, 24:21, 25:12, 26:3, 26:11, 26:15, 26:18, 32:2 production [2] - 27:5, 30:25 productive [5] - 9:22, 9:24, 29:6, 35:10, 36:22 productively [1] - 14:22 PRODUCTS [1] - 1:3 program [2] - 15:15, 31:20 promised [1] - 32:12 properly [1] - 34:18 proposal [7] - 9:9, 11:22, 13:14, 23:1, 29:22, 30:4, 31:15 propose [5] - 14:6, 21:25, 29:7, 30:2, 43:8 proposed [4] - 24:2, 28:15, 29:20, 42:24 proposing [1] - 22:8 protocol [7] - 15:4, 28:16, 29:8, 29:20, 29:23, 30:1, 30:5 provide [6] - 21:17, 22:1, 22:11, 22:13, 30:3, 42:2 provides [2] - 11:24, 12:8 providing [1] - 42:12 provision [1] - 20:16 punitive [1] - 41:13 purely [1] - 41:1 purpose [1] - 21:24 pursuant [1] - 16:24 push [1] - 44:22 put [9] - 4:9, 6:25, 14:23, 27:4, 28:16, 38:14, 38:18, 42:4, 43:16 puts [1] - 29:2</p>	<p>quote [1] - 15:23</p> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>RAFFERTY [1] - 1:20 raise [4] - 13:11, 17:19, 17:20, 26:21 raised [2] - 18:5, 40:2 rather [1] - 9:11 rational [1] - 31:4 RDR [1] - 49:22 RE [1] - 1:3 reached [2] - 14:3, 38:25 read [3] - 23:20, 32:9, 39:14 reading [1] - 30:8 real [1] - 44:8 really [11] - 11:3, 13:9, 14:25, 20:18, 21:22, 24:17, 25:20, 38:25, 45:10, 45:16 reargue [1] - 29:19 reason [5] - 12:10, 14:24, 25:13, 25:16, 45:23 reasonable [4] - 28:2, 28:10, 28:22, 30:16 reasons [2] - 20:25, 37:16 recalled [2] - 24:21, 26:12 received [1] - 5:11 recently [1] - 47:10 recess [1] - 33:3 reciprocal [1] - 47:19 reckoning [3] - 43:21, 44:16, 44:17 recollection [2] - 7:12, 7:23 record [7] - 4:3, 4:10, 5:25, 6:1, 18:22, 29:18, 49:20 recorded [1] - 1:25 records [4] - 11:24, 12:1, 23:12, 23:17 recurring [1] - 36:21 referred [1] - 37:22 refiled [1] - 37:21 refresh [2] - 7:12, 7:22 refuse [2] - 31:17, 32:8 regard [2] - 13:8, 17:7 regarding [1] - 6:20 relabeler [4] - 17:9, 17:13, 17:18, 19:16 relabelers [5] - 14:18, 16:4, 16:11, 17:23, 19:21 related [4] - 19:3,</p>	<p>21:25, 23:11, 40:17 relates [1] - 23:7 relating [1] - 20:7 relayed [1] - 6:11 relevant [8] - 22:4, 22:12, 23:3, 23:14, 23:21, 23:23, 25:15, 25:18 remain [1] - 28:20 remaining [2] - 7:7, 7:9 remember [2] - 7:14, 7:23 remove [1] - 38:7 removed [1] - 37:22 removing [1] - 38:13 renegotiate [1] - 20:16 repackager [7] - 15:8, 15:23, 17:9, 17:13, 17:18, 18:6, 19:16 repackager/relabeler [1] - 14:16 repackagers [13] - 14:17, 15:21, 15:22, 16:4, 16:7, 16:11, 16:14, 16:15, 17:23, 18:9, 18:11, 19:3, 19:21 Reporter [1] - 1:23 REPORTER [3] - 25:1, 25:5, 46:21 reporter [2] - 4:8, 46:22 Reporter/Transcriber [1] - 49:22 reports [7] - 42:2, 42:12, 44:25, 45:6, 45:8, 45:15, 46:4 represent [1] - 16:6 representative [1] - 9:1 representatives [2] - 7:25, 8:10 reps [1] - 8:7 request [16] - 16:24, 17:3, 17:5, 17:10, 17:13, 18:18, 19:25, 20:24, 21:14, 21:15, 21:22, 24:18, 25:20, 27:4, 29:25, 34:3 requested [4] - 10:12, 11:10, 19:16, 19:21 requests [2] - 16:1, 33:17 required [1] - 42:1 requiring [1] - 31:2 resolution [3] - 6:3, 6:16, 47:1 resolve [2] - 5:21,</p>	<p>36:10 resolved [6] - 6:15, 19:14, 33:14, 34:21, 34:25, 35:20 resource [1] - 42:7 resources [2] - 31:14, 42:22 respect [4] - 15:15, 20:8, 20:21, 21:18 respond [9] - 7:17, 8:20, 10:6, 10:12, 10:22, 11:4, 11:10, 25:7, 36:4 responded [3] - 8:13, 36:23, 40:3 responds [1] - 36:16 response [3] - 12:13, 34:7, 39:14 responses [1] - 7:3 rest [3] - 23:24, 25:18, 33:1 restrict [1] - 47:11 results [1] - 13:15 retail [6] - 5:6, 13:22, 13:23, 14:1, 22:12, 22:16 Retailer [1] - 2:17 retailer [1] - 10:11 retailers [7] - 7:18, 8:19, 9:10, 10:22, 10:23, 11:3, 11:9 return [4] - 21:14, 22:1, 24:3, 24:20 returned [3] - 23:21, 24:5, 25:11 returns [7] - 21:17, 21:18, 22:2, 24:2, 24:18, 24:19, 24:22 review [2] - 31:22, 32:5 reviewed [1] - 5:12 RFPs [1] - 24:16 RICHER [5] - 2:15, 9:16, 9:19, 14:1, 14:10 Richer [2] - 9:19, 14:1 rights [1] - 48:15 RMR [1] - 49:22 road [1] - 26:21 ROAD [2] - 3:4, 3:8 Robert [1] - 33:5 ROBERT [1] - 1:11 room [1] - 5:15 ROSE [1] - 2:18 ROSELAND [1] - 1:15 Rosenberg [1] - 41:9 rough [1] - 8:18 round [1] - 34:2 route [1] - 28:23 RUBEN [1] - 1:17</p>	<p>Ruben [1] - 4:13 rule [1] - 25:24 ruled [3] - 19:25, 20:7, 20:25 ruling [5] - 12:24, 13:24, 26:19, 32:11, 32:12 RULINGS [1] - 1:5 rulings [4] - 6:2, 20:3, 20:8, 30:15 run [1] - 14:5</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>Salas [1] - 49:7 sales [2] - 23:9, 30:9 sample [1] - 26:2 sampled [1] - 26:11 sampling [1] - 30:25 SARAH [1] - 2:15 Sarah [2] - 5:5, 9:24 sat [1] - 6:1 save [5] - 5:20, 6:21, 27:7, 27:9, 27:10 schedule [14] - 27:10, 41:21, 42:3, 42:20, 42:24, 43:3, 43:7, 43:8, 43:14, 46:7, 46:12, 46:13, 46:19, 48:4 scheduled [1] - 35:15 schedules [1] - 41:11 Schneider [10] - 4:2, 33:6, 33:13, 40:8, 40:21, 41:2, 41:18, 43:1, 44:8, 48:21 SCHNEIDER [3] - 1:9, 37:10, 48:21 Schwartz [1] - 7:5 SCHWARTZ [9] - 2:10, 7:5, 7:15, 7:19, 8:1, 8:5, 8:9, 8:14, 8:21 science [1] - 43:23 scope [1] - 21:15 sealed [1] - 5:15 search [1] - 31:8 second [3] - 32:19, 34:24, 35:1 secondly [1] - 15:20 Section [2] - 11:23, 12:4 section [1] - 13:4 see [12] - 6:3, 13:9, 13:13, 19:10, 29:8, 29:10, 34:24, 36:15, 37:23, 38:13, 45:4, 45:23 seek [2] - 39:10, 39:11 selecting [1] - 12:23</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>quarantine [4] - 47:14, 47:17, 47:21, 49:1 questions [2] - 18:7, 21:24 quickly [1] - 46:24 quite [1] - 35:4</p>				

send [5] - 14:7, 29:20, 29:25, 30:1, 46:12
sending [1] - 29:24
sense [4] - 23:17, 27:17, 42:4, 45:25
sent [1] - 35:15
separate [2] - 14:25, 19:5
September [2] - 35:4, 47:23
seriously [2] - 31:1, 31:10
serve [1] - 45:8
served [2] - 27:1, 34:18
session [1] - 41:3
set [2] - 7:16, 47:10
SETH [1] - 2:10
Seth [3] - 4:21, 38:2, 47:4
setting [2] - 32:24, 44:11
several [1] - 41:24
severe [2] - 48:12, 48:13
shape [1] - 49:11
sheet [40] - 6:22, 7:2, 8:19, 9:11, 9:17, 10:1, 11:23, 12:7, 12:10, 12:15, 13:3, 13:7, 14:8, 14:25, 15:19, 17:7, 17:11, 17:16, 17:20, 17:25, 18:8, 18:16, 18:19, 19:2, 19:5, 19:9, 19:18, 19:23, 20:14, 21:6, 21:14, 21:18, 22:5, 22:22, 23:15, 26:24, 27:4, 33:23, 35:11
SHEETS [1] - 1:6
sheets [17] - 6:20, 6:24, 7:9, 7:10, 7:17, 8:13, 8:14, 10:7, 12:21, 12:25, 13:22, 13:23, 25:25, 26:7, 27:1, 33:17, 35:13
short [3] - 14:21, 27:6, 42:15
short-form [2] - 27:6, 42:15
shortage [1] - 48:13
show [6] - 6:20, 33:17, 34:4, 36:3, 36:9, 36:14
show-cause [1] - 6:20
shows [1] - 28:22
side [7] - 20:17, 24:13, 28:8, 30:4, 40:22, 42:22, 46:15

sides [1] - 28:5
similar [1] - 30:5
simpler [1] - 46:19
simply [2] - 12:16, 25:12
Simultaneous [2] - 9:18, 13:25
single [1] - 43:17
situated [1] - 15:14
situation [1] - 49:9
six [3] - 22:5, 23:4, 45:21
SLATER [18] - 1:13, 1:14, 4:11, 9:3, 9:6, 21:9, 27:15, 29:14, 29:17, 32:10, 32:21, 34:8, 37:5, 37:12, 38:22, 39:8, 44:20, 47:2
Slater [22] - 4:11, 6:9, 6:12, 8:25, 9:3, 27:13, 29:16, 29:17, 30:7, 30:24, 31:15, 32:6, 32:9, 34:6, 37:5, 38:12, 38:22, 39:4, 43:15, 44:12, 44:19, 47:2
Slater's [1] - 39:14
small [2] - 20:11, 32:3
SMITH [1] - 3:7
smoothly [1] - 13:16
Solco [1] - 2:12
solely [1] - 40:11
someone [1] - 39:4
sometime [1] - 48:16
somewhere [1] - 5:15
soon [2] - 8:19, 49:13
sooner [2] - 10:18, 46:14
Sorry [1] - 9:5
sorry [11] - 9:22, 13:18, 13:20, 21:10, 25:3, 29:14, 37:10, 39:20, 39:24, 46:21, 46:23
sort [8] - 6:3, 21:23, 27:22, 40:13, 40:14, 41:14, 42:20, 43:8
sounds [4] - 10:25, 20:20, 31:13, 32:16
source [1] - 24:11
SOUTH [1] - 2:11
SPEAKER [3] - 25:2, 46:8, 46:9
speaking [1] - 46:22
specific [5] - 19:7, 21:25, 23:7, 42:11, 44:23
specifically [2] - 21:23, 34:9

speedy [1] - 48:15
spend [1] - 42:7
spoken [2] - 5:13, 9:21
STANOCH [2] - 34:12, 36:6
Stanoch [2] - 34:13, 36:6
start [6] - 10:3, 11:25, 14:16, 43:3, 45:25, 46:12
started [2] - 33:1, 33:11
starting [1] - 28:18
state [8] - 27:8, 37:1, 37:21, 37:24, 37:25, 47:16, 47:20
State [1] - 37:19
States [3] - 4:2, 33:5, 33:6
states [4] - 47:14, 47:15, 47:18, 47:19
STATES [3] - 1:1, 1:10, 1:11
STATUS [1] - 1:4
stay [6] - 15:1, 15:3, 19:4, 32:22, 49:12
stayed [2] - 37:4, 37:8
stenography [1] - 1:25
still [5] - 11:4, 15:25, 16:7, 16:15, 35:7
store [2] - 22:12, 22:16
strategies [1] - 41:11
STREET [4] - 1:17, 2:3, 2:11, 2:23
Streets [1] - 1:7
strong [1] - 40:22
stuff [2] - 44:7, 48:8
subject [2] - 23:2, 23:15
submit [1] - 16:19
submitted [3] - 8:14, 34:17, 35:13
subset [1] - 20:11
substance [1] - 14:10
substantial [3] - 8:15, 12:18, 13:8
substantially [5] - 11:21, 11:23, 12:7, 12:15, 13:10
substantive [1] - 14:3
success [1] - 38:25
suggest [1] - 32:17
suggested [1] - 9:11
suggestion [2] - 27:18, 28:23
SUITE [7] - 1:17, 1:21, 2:7, 2:16, 2:23, 3:4,

3:8
summarize [1] - 21:16
summarized [1] - 36:8
summary [1] - 23:9
Superior [1] - 37:3
supply [2] - 7:11, 7:20
support [1] - 43:23
supposed [1] - 47:16
supposedly [1] - 31:5
surprised [1] - 8:23
SWEDES FORD [1] - 3:8
system [3] - 31:24, 34:17, 47:7

T

TAR [6] - 5:21, 5:23, 6:4, 6:18, 27:13, 31:9
team [1] - 34:9
technical [1] - 34:15
teleconference [2] - 4:1, 33:4
TELEPHONIC [1] - 1:4
ten [2] - 41:25, 43:4
term [1] - 30:3
terms [9] - 14:10, 18:10, 18:15, 19:7, 31:8, 40:24, 46:17, 48:18, 49:10
test [2] - 24:7, 24:14
testing [2] - 24:15, 25:10
tethered [1] - 21:23
Teva [14] - 3:5, 4:24, 4:25, 5:2, 5:21, 5:23, 6:2, 22:22, 28:9, 28:13, 28:15, 31:2, 31:16, 31:20
Teva's [1] - 27:17
Texas [2] - 22:23, 23:8
THE [78] - 1:1, 1:9, 1:11, 2:19, 4:3, 4:19, 5:10, 7:12, 7:16, 7:22, 8:3, 8:6, 8:11, 8:17, 8:22, 10:8, 10:15, 10:20, 11:14, 11:19, 12:13, 12:24, 13:21, 14:9, 14:11, 15:7, 16:3, 16:9, 16:11, 16:21, 16:23, 17:2, 17:8, 18:13, 18:17, 19:8, 19:13, 20:3, 20:20, 21:8, 21:10, 22:7, 22:15, 23:18, 25:1, 25:4, 25:5, 25:23, 26:23, 28:12, 29:16, 30:7, 30:23, 32:11, 32:22,

33:8, 33:25, 34:6, 34:11, 34:23, 35:7, 36:4, 36:13, 36:25, 37:9, 37:18, 38:9, 38:15, 38:21, 39:3, 39:9, 39:23, 43:15, 46:1, 46:21, 46:25, 47:6, 48:25
themselves [1] - 6:14
theory [2] - 43:24
they've [4] - 10:24, 21:16, 35:22, 45:5
thinking [1] - 43:24
third [2] - 21:13
THOMAS [1] - 1:20
THORNBURG [1] - 2:14
thorough [1] - 13:7
three [5] - 14:12, 14:14, 19:13, 21:1, 21:3
timeline [1] - 42:5
timing [2] - 37:13, 45:11
today [5] - 9:13, 17:11, 44:11, 46:16, 49:2
together [2] - 24:16, 28:16
took [4] - 12:2, 24:4, 24:12, 26:19
tolly [1] - 21:21
touch [1] - 39:1
towards [1] - 45:17
Townsend [3] - 33:20, 34:1, 34:7
Townsend's [1] - 34:3
track [5] - 41:2, 41:6, 41:13, 43:9, 45:11
transcript [2] - 1:25, 49:19
transcription [1] - 1:25
TRAURIG [1] - 3:2
traveling [1] - 48:2
trial [3] - 24:7, 24:8, 48:15
trials [3] - 48:10, 48:11, 48:15
tried [1] - 25:21
trigger [9] - 10:2, 10:4, 10:7, 11:5, 11:6, 11:16, 11:20, 21:4, 44:6
triggers [1] - 13:5
try [4] - 16:18, 17:5, 27:18, 28:23
trying [13] - 10:20, 19:1, 19:7, 20:8, 20:10, 20:21, 20:22,

<p>24:7, 25:8, 27:21, 38:18, 39:7, 42:19 Tuesday ^[1] - 30:19 turn ^[3] - 27:14, 29:7, 39:21 two ^[12] - 10:24, 10:25, 12:13, 13:12, 14:5, 19:13, 19:24, 20:4, 20:24, 22:25, 33:18, 37:19 types ^[6] - 32:4, 39:11, 39:12, 42:1, 42:11, 43:6 typo ^[2] - 35:3, 35:6</p>	<p>various ^[4] - 37:16, 40:17, 42:17, 47:14 version ^[2] - 14:7, 27:1 via ^[2] - 4:1, 33:4 Victoria ^[2] - 4:25, 33:23 VICTORIA ^[1] - 3:3 VINE ^[1] - 2:23 virus ^[3] - 47:7, 49:8, 49:10 VOICE ^[3] - 10:19, 29:1, 34:5 voluntarily ^[1] - 47:16</p>	<p>word ^[1] - 32:14 works ^[2] - 28:3, 31:25 worry ^[1] - 11:16 write ^[1] - 45:6</p>
U	W	Y
<p>U.S ^[2] - 1:6, 2:12 ULMER ^[1] - 2:22 uncertainty ^[1] - 28:7 under ^[1] - 42:17 understood ^[2] - 18:2, 19:12 underway ^[1] - 43:14 unforeseen ^[1] - 13:14 UNIDENTIFIED ^[3] - 25:2, 46:8, 46:9 United ^[3] - 4:2, 33:5, 33:6 UNITED ^[3] - 1:1, 1:10, 1:11 unless ^[1] - 21:3 unquote ^[1] - 15:23 unreasonable ^[1] - 6:12 unsympathetic ^[1] - 43:20 up ^[12] - 12:10, 14:4, 24:23, 28:22, 29:4, 30:12, 32:17, 32:24, 35:20, 44:11, 47:11, 47:24 update ^[1] - 34:17 updated ^[1] - 36:23 urgency ^[1] - 28:5 US ^[1] - 2:18</p>	<p>wait ^[3] - 11:2, 24:3, 37:23 waiting ^[1] - 40:14 Walmart ^[1] - 2:12 wants ^[4] - 15:24, 17:19, 29:20, 40:24 Washington ^[1] - 5:16 waste ^[1] - 31:14 wasting ^[2] - 31:6, 42:22 WAYNE ^[1] - 3:8 wearing ^[1] - 48:6 week ^[8] - 6:17, 6:24, 8:19, 29:12, 35:16, 40:2, 42:24 weekend ^[1] - 28:3 weeks ^[3] - 10:24, 10:25, 13:12 welcome ^[1] - 18:3 whatsoever ^[1] - 35:14 Whiteley ^[1] - 4:18 WHITELEY ^[3] - 2:2, 2:3, 4:17 whittled ^[1] - 35:12 whole ^[1] - 22:17 wholesaler ^[2] - 5:8, 21:8 wholesalers ^[16] - 14:12, 14:13, 15:2, 15:7, 15:9, 15:12, 15:16, 15:22, 16:2, 18:6, 18:8, 18:12, 19:1, 19:4, 19:7, 21:12 wholesalers' ^[2] - 19:9, 19:18 willing ^[3] - 23:16, 25:17, 29:12 wind ^[1] - 30:8 winnowing ^[1] - 44:3 WITH ^[1] - 1:5 wondering ^[1] - 47:6</p>	<p>year ^[10] - 12:9, 12:11, 22:23, 22:25, 40:16, 46:3, 46:6, 46:14, 48:16 years ^[4] - 21:19, 21:21, 22:25, 23:14 yesterday ^[11] - 5:25, 6:1, 9:22, 9:25, 10:11, 27:16, 27:20, 28:15, 28:17, 29:5, 34:20 YORK ^[2] - 2:20</p>
V		Z
<p>validation ^[6] - 28:16, 29:8, 29:20, 29:23, 30:4, 32:6 valsartan ^[6] - 21:20, 22:3, 25:11, 43:18, 44:2, 44:6 Valsartan ^[1] - 4:4 VALSARTAN ^[1] - 1:3 valsartan-containing ^[2] - 44:2, 44:6 variations ^[1] - 42:14</p>		<p>Zantac ^[5] - 41:8, 42:21, 43:4, 43:17, 46:17 Zantac/ranitidine ^[1] - 41:22 Zhejiang ^[1] - 2:13 ZHP ^[3] - 4:22, 7:6, 38:3</p>